Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Environmental Research



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/envres

Comparing the variations and influencing factors of CH_4 emissions from paddies and wetlands under CO_2 enrichment: A data synthesis in the last three decades



Haiyang Yu^{a,b}, Xuechen Zhang^c, Xiangtian Meng^c, Dan Luo^{a,b,d}, Zhengfu Yue^{e,f}, Yaying Li^{a,b}, Yongxiang Yu^{a,b,g}, Huaiying Yao^{a,b,g,*}

^a Key Laboratory of Urban Environment and Health, Ningbo Observation and Research Station, Institute of Urban Environment, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Xiamen 361021, China

^d University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

e Key Laboratory of Low-carbon Green Agriculture in Tropical Region of China, Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs; Hainan Key Laboratory of Tropical Eco-

Circular Agriculture, Environmental and Plant Protection Institute, Chinese Academy of Tropical Agricultural Sciences, Haikou 571101, China

^f Hainan Danzhou Tropical Agro-ecosystem National Observation and Research Station, Chinese Academy of Tropical Agricultural Sciences, Danzhou 571737, China ^g Research Center for Environmental Ecology and Engineering, School of Environmental Ecology and Biological Engineering, Wuhan Institute of Technology, Wuhan 430073, China

ARTICLE INFO

Handling Editor: Aijie Wang

Keywords: CO₂ enrichment CH₄ emissions Paddies Wetlands Tiller numbers Water table levels

ABSTRACT

Understanding and quantifying the impact of elevated tropospheric carbon dioxide concentration (e [CO2]) on methane (CH₄) globally is important for effectively assessing and mitigating climate warming. Paddies and wetlands are the two important sources of CH4 emissions. Yet, a quantitative synthetic investigation of the effects of e [CO₂] on CH₄ emissions from paddies and wetlands on a global scale has not been conducted. Here, we conducted a meta-analysis of 488 observation cases from 40 studies to assess the long-term effects of e [CO₂] (ambient $[CO_2] + 53-400 \,\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$) on CH₄ emissions and to identify the relevant key drivers. On aggregate, e $[CO_2]$ increased CH₄ emissions by 25.7% (p < 0.05) from paddies but did not affect CH₄ emissions from wetlands (-3.29%; p > 0.05). The e [CO₂] effects on paddy CH₄ emissions were positively related to that on belowground biomass and soil-dissolved CH₄ content. However, these factors under e [CO₂] resulted in no significant change in CH₄ emissions in wetlands. Particularly, the e [CO₂]-induced abundance of methanogens increased in paddies but decreased in wetlands. In addition, tillering number of rice and water table levels affected e [CO2]-induced CH₄ emissions in paddies and wetlands, respectively. On a global scale, CH₄ emissions changed from an increase $(+0.13 \text{ and } + 0.86 \text{ Pg CO}_2\text{-eq yr}^{-1})$ under short-term e [CO₂] into a decrease and no changes (-0.22 and + 0.03 cm)Pg CO_2 -eq yr^{-1}) under long-term e $[CO_2]$ in paddies and wetlands, respectively. This suggested that e $[CO_2]$ induced CH₄ emissions from paddies and wetlands changed over time. Our results not only shed light on the different stimulative responses of CH₄ emissions to e [CO₂] from paddy and wetland ecosystems but also suggest that estimates of e [CO2]-induced CH4 emissions from global paddies and wetlands need to account for long-term changes in various regions.

1. Introduction

Most likely due to extensive human activities, tropospheric carbon dioxide concentrations ([CO₂]) have risen from the pre-industrial level of 280 μ mol mol⁻¹ to the current level of 420 μ mol mol⁻¹ (NOAA,

2022). It's predicted that future CO_2 concentration would increase to between 600 µmol mol⁻¹ (SSP2–4.5) and 1080 µmol mol⁻¹ (SSP5–8.5) over the next century (IPCC). Such changes may lead to an increase in net primary productivity and also in decomposition rates of soil carbon in various ecosystems (forest, grassland, wetland, tundra, cropland,

E-mail address: hyyao@iue.ac.cn (H. Yao).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2023.115842

Received 31 January 2023; Received in revised form 19 March 2023; Accepted 3 April 2023 Available online 5 April 2023 0013-9351/© 2023 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

^b Zhejiang Key Laboratory of Urban Environmental Processes and Pollution Control, CAS Haixi Industrial Technology Innovation Center in Beilun, Ningbo 315830, China

^c College of Natural Resources and Environment, Northwest A&F University, Yangling 712100, China

^{*} Corresponding author.Key Laboratory of Urban Environment and Health, Ningbo Observation and Research Station, Institute of Urban Environment, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Xiamen 361021, China;.

etc.), indirectly affecting greenhouse gases (GHGs, e.g., methane (CH₄) and nitrous oxide (N₂O)) emissions (Abbasi and Mueller, 2011; Bridgman et al., 2020; Oechel and Vourlitis, 1994; Phillips et al., 2001; Xia et al., 2020; Yun et al., 2012; Zhu et al., 2022). CH₄ is the second-most powerful GHGs after CO₂, contributing 18% to global warming (IPCC). Based on a top-down approach, it has been estimated that global CH₄ emissions in the last decade were about 572 Tg CH₄ yr⁻¹, of which 219 Tg CH₄ yr⁻¹ (38%) and 215 Tg CH₄ yr⁻¹ (38%) were attributed to agricultural sources and natural wetlands, respectively (Saunois et al., 2020). Paddies and wetlands are the largest agricultural and natural CH₄ sources, respectively, accounting for about 7–11% of total anthropogenic emissions and 21–74% of global natural emissions (Saunois et al., 2020). Therefore, the feedback of CH₄ emissions from paddies and wetlands to elevated CO₂ concentration (e [CO₂]) plays a critical role in future climate change.

CH₄ production from methanogens and CH₄ oxidation from methanotrophs decide CH₄ emissions from paddies and wetlands (Conrad, 2007; Lin et al., 2021). e [CO₂] generally stimulates paddy and wetland CH_4 emissions by the promotion of plant photosynthesis (Liu et al., 2018; Zheng et al., 2006), which can provide more methanogenic substrates and increase the abundance of methanogenic in soils (Dacey et al., 1994; Megonigal and Schlesinger, 1997; Qian et al., 2020). However, a few studies have shown that e [CO₂] could inhibit CH₄ emissions from paddies and wetlands due to the decrease in belowground biomass and dissolved CH₄ contents induced by e [CO₂] (Bridgman et al., 2020; Lin et al., 2021; Schrope et al., 1999; Yu et al., 2022c). For instance, recent studies showed that this inhibitory effect of e [CO2] on CH4 emissions was closely related to the improvement of plant root oxygen (O₂) secretion capacity and the increase of methanotrophs abundance under e [CO₂] (Yu et al., 2022b, 2022c). Furthermore, Yu et al. (2022c) also found that e [CO2] did not necessarily enhance CH₄ emissions from paddies, and the effect of e [CO₂] on paddy CH₄ emissions might change over time. In their study, they used meta-analysis to show that the effects of long-term e [CO2] on the abundance of methanogens and methanotrophs varied with time series, which was one of the important reasons for the differences in promoting and attenuating mechanisms between short- and long-term e [CO2] on CH4 emissions. However, the universality of this phenomenon has yet to be tested. Thus, accurate assessments of variations of CH4 emissions from paddies and wetlands under long-term e [CO2] are urgently required.

The vast majority of the world's rice is grown in flooded paddies (Bhattacharyva et al., 2017; Malyan et al., 2016), where the aerobic decomposition of organic matter such as rice root secretions and residual roots would gradually soil deplete most O2, resulting in anoxic conditions and CH₄ production (Schrope et al., 1999; Yu et al., 2022b). Meanwhile, as productive man-made wetlands, paddies are greatly affected by human management practices, which would inevitably lead to differences in CH₄ emissions between them and natural wetlands (Qin et al., 2015; Xia et al., 2016). For instance, fertilization, straw incorporation, water regime and rice cultivar can affect the production, oxidation, and transport of CH₄ in paddies by mediating the abundance, structure, and activity of related microorganisms (Xia et al., 2014; Yu et al., 2022a). Recently, Yu et al. (2022c) found that the stimulatory effect of long-term e [CO2] on CH4 emissions from paddies was diminished because methanogenic substrates were less stimulated by long-term e [CO₂]. This indicates that the response of CH₄ emissions to long-term e [CO₂] in paddies is likely to have a large spatiotemporal variability. However, despite there having been many reports on e [CO2]-induced CH4 emissions in paddies and the influencing factors, a comprehensive understanding of their time scale responses is still lacking.

In wetland soils, persistent high water table levels limit O_2 availability, which creates a suitable redox condition for CH₄ production (Lin et al., 2021; Vann and Megonigal, 2003). However, CH₄ fluxes from wetlands are generally lower than that from paddies (Qin et al., 2015).

Two mechanisms could explain the relatively low emissions from wetlands: (i) the produced CH₄ can be continuously oxidized in the process of transmission with higher water levels in most wetlands, except marsh wetlands (Qin et al., 2015; Saarnio et al., 2009); (ii) higher water table levels and fewer wetland plants weaken CH4 transport capacity, leading to reduced CH₄ emissions (Mueller et al., 2020). For these reasons, hydrological characteristics, unlike paddies, are considered to be the key factors mediating plant community structure and carbon cycling in wetlands (Lin et al., 2021). Furthermore, previous studies reported that e [CO2] had positive (Kao-Kniffin et al., 2011; Vann and Megonigal, 2003), negative (Bridgman et al., 2020; Lin et al., 2021), or even no effect (Silvola et al., 2003) on CH₄ emissions in wetlands, possibly because the key influencing factors were not consistent. For instance, plant parameters, wetland types, and water table levels could affect the response of e [CO₂] to CH₄ emissions (Bridgman et al., 2020; Lin et al., 2021). However, few studies have explored the specific key drivers. Therefore, it is necessary to systematically and comprehensively compare the responses of CH₄ emissions from paddies and wetlands and their influencing factors to e [CO₂], which is of great significance for proposing effective emission reduction measures to cope with severe global climate change.

Here, we synthesized the results of 40 studies (25 for paddies and 15 for wetlands; Table S1) in the last three decades. Three hypotheses were tested in this study: (i) on average, e [CO₂] (ambient [CO₂] (a [CO₂]) + 53–400 μ mol mol⁻¹) increases CH₄ emissions from paddies and wetlands, which is associated with the increased substrates of CH₄ production in paddies and wetlands because of e [CO₂]; (ii) the main controlling factors of CH₄ emissions as affected by e [CO₂] probably are different between paddies and wetlands; and (iii) under long-term e [CO₂], the promoting effects of CH₄ emission in paddies and wetlands gradually are weakened. Therefore, this study will provide a scientific reference for the accurate assessment of the variations and influencing factors of CH₄ emissions from paddies and wetlands under long-term e [CO₂].

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Literature search and data collection

We used the Web of Science (http://apps.webofknowledge.com/) and China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI) (http://www.cnki. net/) to search for peer-reviewed publications before June 30, 2022. The search terms "e [CO₂]" and "CH₄ emissions" and "paddies OR wetlands" were used for data collection. The following criteria were adopted to select appropriate articles: (i) observations of CH4 emissions and the influence factors from paddies or wetlands under both a [CO₂] and e [CO₂] must be included; (ii) the accumulation of CH₄ emissions must be measured in paddies or wetlands, or total CH4 emissions must be calculated by CH₄ fluxes during the growing season; (iii) field management measures in paddies (e.g. nitrogen (N) application rate, straw incorporation, water regime, and rice cultivar) and other influencing factors must be reported; (iv) information on the wetland subclasses, water table levels, and environmental factor in wetlands must be collected. It should be noted that the duration of e [CO2] was also artificially divided, that was, if e [CO₂] durations were less than 5 yrs, they had short-term e [CO₂] effects, and if e [CO₂] durations were more than 10 yrs, they had long-term e [CO₂] effects. In addition, the influencing factors of e [CO2]-induced CH4 emissions in the literature selection criteria included plant parameters (aboveground biomass and belowground biomass), soil physicochemical properties (soil redox potential, dissolved organic carbon, mean annual temperature, etc.), and key functional microorganisms (methanogens and methanotrophs). Based on these criteria, 488 observations from 40 studies were included in our meta-analysis (Table S1). The global distribution of research sites involved in this study was shown in Fig. S1.

2.2. Meta-analysis

In this meta-analysis, we calculated the natural logarithm of the response ratio (ln*R*) to evaluate e [CO₂]-induced CH₄ emissions from paddies and wetlands in each paired experiment (Hedges et al., 1999):

$$\ln R = \ln(X_e / X_a) = \ln X_e - \ln X_a \tag{1}$$

where X_e and X_a represent CH₄ emissions under e [CO₂] and a [CO₂], respectively.

For some observations in the dataset provided herein, there are no standard deviation values in the compiled studies. Therefore, we adopted a function of the sample size to weigh effects sizes (Xia et al., 2017):

$$w = (N_e \times N_a) / (N_e + N_a) \tag{2}$$

where *w* represents the weight of each ln*R* of observations, and N_e and N_a represent the number of replicates of CH₄ emissions from paddies or wetlands under e [CO₂] and a [CO₂], respectively.

The weighted mean effect size (lnR++) and 95% confidence intervals (95%*CIs*) were generated by a bootstrapping procedure with 4999 iterations, using MetaWin 2.0. Between-group heterogeneity tests (*Q* tests) were performed to determine the differential e [CO₂]-induced CH₄ emissions in the different groups (Tables S2 and S3). A significant *p*-value (<0.05) indicated that the responses differed among groups. A Gaussian distribution function was applied to feet the frequency of lnRs, and we found that the lnRs for the above variables were homogeneous (Fig. S2). If 95%*CIs* did not overlap with zero, the percentage changes (*PC*) in the variables under e [CO₂] were assumed to represent a significant increase (>zero) or decrease (<zero) compared with the residue removed (p < 0.05) (Xia et al., 2021):

$$PC = (e^{\ln R + +} - 1) \times 100\%$$
(3)

Positive values denote an increase due to e [CO₂] whereas negative values indicate a decrease in the respective variables.

2.3. Upscaling estimation

We calculated changes in CH_4 emissions from global paddies and wetlands under short- and long-term e [CO_2] using the following equation (van Groenigen et al., 2011; Xia et al., 2021):

$$E = A \times H \tag{4}$$

while *E* represents the change (net increase or decrease) in CH₄ emissions as affected by e [CO₂] (Pg CO₂-eq yr⁻¹), *A* represents the replicateweighted mean positive or negative changes in CH₄ emissions between e [CO₂] and a [CO₂] treatments, and *H* represents the habitat area of global paddies or wetlands (1.67×10^8 ha for paddies and 1.21×10^9 ha for wetlands) (Davidson et al., 2018; FAO).

3. Results

3.1. e [CO2]-induced CH4 emissions from paddies and wetlands

Globally, mean CH₄ emissions were 185 and 246 kg CH₄ ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ from paddies and wetlands under a [CO₂], respectively, but they increased to 218 and 296 kg CH₄ ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ under e [CO₂], respectively (Fig. 1a). However, when weighted the effect sizes, e [CO₂] increased overall CH₄ emissions by 25.7% (95%*CIs*: 18.2%–33.4%; p < 0.05) from paddies, while it decreased CH₄ emissions by 3.29% (95%*CIs*: -21.0%–15.0%; p > 0.05) from wetlands (Fig. 1b). So, there were significant differences in the *PC* of e [CO₂]-induced CH₄ emissions from paddies and wetlands (p < 0.05, Fig. 1b).

There was no difference in the effects of different experimental facilities, $e \ [CO_2]$ levels, N fertilization rates, and water regimes on e



Fig. 1. Violin plots of (a) global CH₄ emissions under a $[CO_2]$ and e $[CO_2]$ and (b) the response ratio (lnR) of CH₄ emissions to e $[CO_2]$ from paddies (n = 124) and wetlands (n = 41). a $[CO_2]$, ambient CO₂ concentration; e $[CO_2]$, elevated CO₂ concentration. Black dots represent mean values. Orange dots indicate the percentage changes, and error bars of the dots represent 95% confidence intervals (95%*CIs*). Error bars that do not overlap with zero indicate statistically significant differences (p < 0.05).

[CO₂]-induced CH₄ emissions in paddies (p > 0.05; Fig. 2a and S3). However, e [CO₂] increased CH₄ emissions by 29.9% (95%*CIs*: 21.3%– 39.0%; p < 0.05) and 49.0% (95%*CIs*: 21.8%–85.9%; p < 0.05) under zero and half of straw incorporations conditions, respectively, but decreased CH₄ emissions by 4.19% (95%*CIs*: -9.37%–2.57%; p > 0.05) under all straw incorporations condition in paddies (Fig. 2a). CH₄ emissions generally increased by 34.7% (95%*CIs*: 26.6%–43.2%; p <0.05) and 27.3% (95%*CIs*: 20.9%–34.6%; p < 0.05), when the duration of e [CO₂] was smaller than 5 yrs and 5–10 yrs (Fig. 2a), respectively. Interestingly, long-term e [CO₂] (more than 10 yrs) decreased CH₄ emissions from paddies by 26.5% (95%*CIs*: -38.4% to -12.7%; p <0.05) (Fig. 3a). For wetlands, the related management practices did not affect CH₄ emissions under e [CO₂] (p > 0.05, Fig. 2b).

3.2. Relationships between effect sizes of $e [CO_2]$ on CH_4 emissions and influencing factors

With respect to plant parameters, above ground and belowground biomass were increased under e [CO₂] by 22.8% and 30.5% (95% *CIs*: 18.7%–26.6% and 20.7%–38.8%; p < 0.05), respectively (Fig. 3). However, there were no significant changes in above ground biomass in the overall dataset under e [CO₂] because the 95% *CIs* of percentage change of above ground/belowground/belowground biomass overlapped zero. In contrast, e [CO₂] significantly decreased above ground biomass by 9.63% in paddies (95% *CIs*: –19.6%% to –0.09%; p < 0.05) (Fig. 3). The linear regression analysis suggested that the response ratio of CH₄ emissions from paddies and wetlands under e [CO₂] was positively correlated with the response ratio of belowground biomass, and negatively correlated with the response ratio of above ground/belowground biomass (p < 0.05; Fig. 4b and c).

On average, e [CO₂] did not affect redox potential in both paddies and wetlands (95*CIs*: -9.34%-12.3%; p > 0.05) (Fig. 3). e [CO₂] increased dissolved organic carbon by 17.3% (95%*CIs*: 11.8%-22.9%; p < 0.05), with an increased rate of 18.8% (95%*CIs*: 14.3%-23.3%; p < 0.05) in paddies and 14.1% (95%*CIs*: 2.16%-29.5%; p < 0.05) in wetlands. In addition, overall dissolved CH₄ significantly increased by 32.7% (95%*CIs*: 3.98%-85.8%; p < 0.05) in paddies and wetlands under e [CO₂] (Fig. 3). Yet, dissolved CH₄ was significantly increased in paddies by 43.7% (95%*CIs*: 8.02%-113%; p < 0.05) under e [CO₂] (Fig. 3), while it did not change in wetlands. The linear regression equation showed that e [CO₂]-induced CH₄ emissions were positively correlated with the response ratio of dissolved CH₄, but not with the response ratio



Fig. 2. Effects of e $[CO_2]$ on CH₄ emissions from (a) paddies and (b) wetlands with different managemental conditions. Values are means \pm 95% confidence intervals (95%*CIs*) of the percentage changes. The number of paired observations is shown beside each condition. Between-group heterogeneity (*Qb*) represents the effects of categorical variables. Significant *Qb* values (p < 0.05) indicate that the effects of categorical variables were significant.



Fig. 3. Effects of e [CO₂] on plant parameters, soil properties, and microorganisms in paddies and wetlands. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals (95%*CIs*). 95%*CIs* that do not overlap with zero indicate statistically significant differences (p < 0.05). The number of paired observations is shown beside each condition.

of redox potential and dissolved organic carbon (p < 0.05; Fig. 4d–f).

e [CO₂] significantly increased overall methanogens by 22.5% (95% *CIs*: 11.05–30.8%; p < 0.05) (Fig. 3). Specifically, e [CO₂] increased the abundance in paddies by 26.9% (95%*CIs*: 19.1%–33.3%; p < 0.05), while it decreased the abundance by 44.9% in wetlands (95%*CIs*: -66.8% to -8.66%; p < 0.05). For methanotrophs, e [CO₂] increased the overall abundance by 50.7% (95%*CIs*: 40.6%–57.9%; p < 0.05); it significantly increased the abundance by 50.1% (95%*CIs*: 39.2%–57.3%; p < 0.05) in paddies and by 63.1% (95%*CIs*: 28.5%–107%; p < 0.05) in wetlands (Fig. 3). Conversely, e [CO₂] decreased methanogens/methanotrophs by 19.1% (95%*CIs*: -26.1% to -12.0%; p < 0.05), and such changes were more pronounced in wetlands than in paddies (p < 0.05). Regression analysis showed that the response ratio of e [CO₂]-induced CH₄ emissions positively correlated with methanogens and methanogens/methanotrophs (p < 0.05), but did not correlate with methanotrophs (Fig. 4h and i).

The response ratio of e [CO₂]-induced CH₄ emissions was positively correlated with mean annual temperature (MAT) in paddies and wetlands (p < 0.05; Fig. 4j). Similarly, in paddies, the response ratios of e [CO₂]-induced CH₄ emissions were related with changes of rice tiller numbers in a linear relationship (p < 0.05; Fig. 4k). The response ratios of e [CO₂]-induced CH₄ emissions from wetlands showed a downward parabolic relationship with water table levels (Fig. 4l). When the depth of the wetland water table levels was about 1–2 cm, response ratios of e [CO₂]-induced CH₄ emissions reached the maximum value. In contrast, the negative effect of e [CO₂]-induced CH₄ emissions occurred when water table levels were below -9 cm or above 12 cm.



Fig. 4. Relationships between the response ratios of CH_4 emissions and (a) aboveground biomass, (b) belowground biomass, (c) aboveground/belowground biomass, (d) redox potential, (e) dissolved organic carbon, (f) dissolved CH_4 , (g) methanogens, (h) methanotrophs, (i) methanogens/methanotrophs, (j) mean annual temperature, (k) changes of rice tiller numbers, and (l) water table levels after paddies (dark cyan squares) or wetlands (orange circles) were affected by e [CO₂]. Shaded sections represent the 95% confidence intervals (95%*CIs*) of the regression line. The square and circle sizes indicate the relative weights based on linear regression analysis (the larger the shape, the greater the weight).

3.3. Global upscaling estimate of long-term e [CO₂]-induced CH₄ emissions from paddies and wetlands

Upscaling our results, e [CO₂] increased overall CH₄ emissions from global paddies and wetlands by 0.14 Pg CO₂-eq yr⁻¹ (95%*CIs*: 0.09 to 0.18 Pg CO₂-eq yr⁻¹; p < 0.05) and 0.73 Pg CO₂-eq yr⁻¹ (95%*CIs*: -0.01 to 1.55 Pg CO₂-eq yr⁻¹; p > 0.05), respectively (Table 1). Specifically, under short-term e [CO₂] (smaller than 5 yrs), global CH₄ emissions increased by 0.18 Pg CO₂-eq yr⁻¹ (95%*CIs*: 0.13 to 0.23 Pg CO₂-eq yr⁻¹; p < 0.05) and 0.80 Pg CO₂-eq yr⁻¹ (95%*CIs*: 0.01 to 1.69 Pg CO₂-eq yr⁻¹; p < 0.05) and 0.80 Pg CO₂-eq yr⁻¹ (95%*CIs*: 0.01 to 1.69 Pg CO₂-eq yr⁻¹; p < 0.05) and 0.80 Pg CO₂-eq yr⁻¹ (95%*CIs*: 0.01 to 1.69 Pg CO₂-eq yr⁻¹; p < 0.05) and 0.80 Pg CO₂-eq yr⁻¹ (95%*CIs*: 0.01 to 1.69 Pg CO₂-eq yr⁻¹; p < 0.05) and 0.80 Pg CO₂-eq yr⁻¹ (95%*CIs*: 0.01 to 1.69 Pg CO₂-eq yr⁻¹; p < 0.05) and 0.80 Pg CO₂-eq yr⁻¹ (95%*CIs*: 0.01 to 1.69 Pg CO₂-eq yr⁻¹; p < 0.05) and 0.80 Pg CO₂-eq yr⁻¹ (95%*CIs*: 0.01 to 1.69 Pg CO₂-eq yr⁻¹; p < 0.05) and 0.80 Pg CO₂-eq yr⁻¹ (95%*CIs*: 0.01 to 1.69 Pg CO₂-eq yr⁻¹; p < 0.05) and 0.80 Pg CO₂-eq yr⁻¹ (95%*CIs*: 0.01 to 1.69 Pg CO₂-eq yr⁻¹; p < 0.05) and 0.80 Pg CO₂-eq yr⁻¹ (95%*CIs*: 0.01 to 1.69 Pg CO₂-eq yr⁻¹; p < 0.05) and 0.80 Pg CO₂-eq yr⁻¹ (95%*CIs*: 0.01 to 1.69 Pg CO₂-eq yr⁻¹; p < 0.05) and 0.80 Pg CO₂-eq yr⁻¹ (95%*CIs*: 0.01 to 1.69 Pg CO₂-eq yr⁻¹; p < 0.05) and 0.80 Pg CO₂-eq yr⁻¹ (95%*CIs*: 0.01 to 1.69 Pg CO₂-eq yr⁻¹; p < 0.05) and 0.80 Pg CO₂-eq yr⁻¹ (95%*CIs*: 0.01 to 1.69 Pg CO₂-eq yr⁻¹; p < 0.05) and 0.80 Pg CO₂-eq yr⁻¹ (95%*CIs*: 0.01 to 1.69 Pg CO₂-eq yr⁻¹; p < 0.05) and 0.80 Pg CO₂-eq yr⁻¹ (95%*CIs*: 0.01 to 1.69 Pg CO₂-eq yr⁻¹; p < 0.05) and 0.80 Pg CO₂-eq yr⁻¹ (95%*CIs*) (90 Pg CO₂-eq yr⁻¹; p < 0.05) and 0.80 Pg CO₂-eq yr⁻¹ (95%*CIs*) (90 Pg CO₂-eq yr⁻¹; p < 0.05) and 0.80 Pg CO₂-eq yr⁻¹ (95%*CIs*) (90 Pg CO₂-eq yr⁻¹; p < 0.05) and

p < 0.05) from paddies and wetland, respectively (Table 1). However, long-term e [CO₂] (more than 10 yrs) decreased CH₄ emissions by 0.23 Pg CO₂-eq yr⁻¹ (95%*CIs*: -0.37 to -0.09 Pg CO₂-eq yr⁻¹; p < 0.05) from paddies, while it did not affect global CH₄ emissions from wetlands (Table 1).

Table 1

Estimates of effects of the duration of e [CO₂] on CH₄ emissions from paddies and wetlands on a global scale.

Ecosystems	Duration of e [CO ₂]	No. of observations	Area (ha)	CO ₂ -eq changes (Pg)	95%CIs	95%CIs	
					Min.	Max.	
Paddies	Overall	124	1.67×10^{8} a	0.14	0.09	0.18	
	<5 yrs	93		0.18	0.13	0.23	
	5-10 yrs	17		0.16	0.12	0.21	
	>10 yrs	14		-0.22	-0.37	-0.09	
Wetlands	Overall	41	$1.21 \times 10^{9 \ b}$	0.73	-0.01	1.55	
	<5 yrs	37		0.80	0.01	1.69	
	5-10 yrs	0		N.D. ^c	N.D.	N.D.	
	>10 yrs	4		0.03	-0.25	0.26	

 $e[CO_2]$, elevated CO_2 concentration. 95% *CIs* that do not overlap with zero indicate statistically significant differences (p < 0.05). ^{*a*} Source from FAO. (2020). ^{*b*} Source from Davidson et al. (2018). ^{*c*} N.D. represents no data.

4. Discussion

4.1. Comparison of e [CO₂]-induced CH₄ emissions from paddies and wetlands

In line with our hypothesis (i), e [CO₂] stimulated global CH₄ emissions from paddies (p < 0.05; Fig. 1b). Indeed, e [CO₂] typically increased rice plant photosynthesis (Malyan et al., 2016) and thus spurred paddy soil carbon input. This was supported by higher belowground biomass and dissolved organic carbon concentrations (Fig. 3), which provided more substrates for methanogens (Qian et al., 2022a), and therefore stimulated CH₄ production in paddies. This was also confirmed by the positive effect of e [CO₂] on methanogens in paddies (Figs. 3 and 4). Soil biogeochemical processes are jointly regulated by hydrological and vegetal regimes (Mueller et al., 2020). e [CO₂] increased belowground biomass through the photosynthesis of aboveground plants (Fig. S4), and then indirectly led to the increase in CH₄ emissions by providing more substrates for methanogens (Lin et al., 2021; van Groenigen et al., 2011). In general, N application rates can further promote plant growth and rhizodeposit mineralization under e [CO₂] (Li et al., 2022; Xie et al., 2012), and may indirectly affect the response of CH₄ emissions in paddies and wetlands to e [CO₂]. However, in our study, there was no significant change in the response of CH4 emissions to e [CO2] under different N application rates in paddies and wetlands (Fig. 2 and S3). This suggests that the mechanism of carbon-N coupling under e [CO₂] needs to be further explored.

Unlike CH₄ emissions from paddies, however, e [CO₂] did not affect overall CH₄ emissions from wetlands (Fig. 1b). This was presumably because root exudation rates did not increase significantly due to no priming effects of belowground biomass in wetlands as affected by e [CO₂] (Bridgman et al., 2020), resulting in no change of methanogens (Fig. 3). The response of e [CO₂] on wetland underground biomass was positively correlated with MAT (Fig. S4). In our data set, e [CO₂] experiments were mostly carried out in wetlands with low MAT (Fig. S1), indicating that the effects of MAT on wetland underground biomass may mask that of e [CO₂]. In addition, this was further supported by the unaffected dissolved CH₄ content under e [CO₂] (Fig. 3), suggesting that wetland CH₄ emissions had little response to the indirect effects of e [CO₂]. Accordingly, the response of wetland CH₄ emissions to e [CO₂] was different from paddies, which was not consistent with our hypothesis (i).

It was reported that e [CO₂] increased CH₄ fluxes from paddy and wetland by 0.30 Pg CO₂-eq yr⁻¹ and 1.90 Pg CO₂-eq yr⁻¹, respectively (Liu et al., 2018). However, we only found a little increase (+0.13 and + 0.73 Pg CO₂-eq yr⁻¹ from paddies and wetlands, respectively) in e [CO₂]-induced CH₄ emissions on a global scale (Table 1). This was because our meta-analysis included a larger dataset that minimized the uncertainty in estimates. Consistent with our hypothesis (iii), the effects of long- and short-term e [CO₂] on CH₄ emissions in global paddies and wetlands were different, namely, short-term e [CO₂] increased CH₄ emissions (+0.30 and + 0.80 Pg CO₂-eq yr⁻¹) from paddies and

wetlands, while showing a decrease or no change $(-0.22 \text{ or } +0.03 \text{ Pg} \text{ CO}_2\text{-eq yr}^{-1})$ under long-term e [CO₂] (Table 1). This may be another reason why our estimates of CH₄ emissions as affected by e [CO₂] were lower than those of previous studies (Yu et al., 2022c).

Why did paddy and wetland CH₄ emissions respond differently to short-term and long-term e [CO2]? Regarding paddies, compared to short-term e [CO₂], long-term e [CO₂] practices declined the stimulation of belowground biomass induced by e [CO₂] (Fig. S5). This resulted in a decrease in the increment of methanogenic substrates and thus inhibited CH₄ production in paddies (Yu et al., 2022c). In terms of wetlands, the stimulation of CH₄ emissions by long-term e [CO₂] may be sporadic due to temporal variations in the reduction of discharge pathways as water table levels change (Lin et al., 2021) or the increasing competition from other microorganisms for methanogenic substrates (Marsh et al., 2005). On the other hand, the decreased stimulation effect of long-term e [CO₂] on CH₄ emissions from paddies or wetlands may be due to the adaptation of methanogens and methanotrophs to environmental changes. That is, if the duration of e [CO₂] is taken into account, the intensity of e [CO2]-induced CH4 emissions in paddies and wetlands would be weakened due to reverse changes in the functions of the above key microorganisms (Yu et al., 2022c). Therefore, our results suggested that previous studies may have overestimated e [CO2]-induced CH4 emissions from global paddies and wetlands (Qian et al., 2022a; Shen et al., 2023; Wang et al., 2022; Zhu et al., 2022).

4.2. Different influencing factors of $e [CO_2]$ -induced CH_4 emissions from paddies and wetlands

The significant variation in the percentage changes of e [CO₂]induced CH₄ emissions from paddies and wetlands (Fig. 1) suggested that there were differences in possible influencing factors between paddies and wetlands (Fig. 5). Why did the similar anaerobic environment of paddies and wetlands lead to different influencing factors?

e [CO₂] increased CH₄ emissions from paddies accompanied by a decrease in methanogens/methanotrophs, indicating that CH₄ transport capacities were probably enhanced as affected by e [CO₂] (Figs. 3 and 4k). This was reflected by the increase in rice tiller numbers (Fig. 4k). Allen et al. (2003) reported that, in paddies, e [CO₂] increased rice tiller numbers, which could explain why the increased aerenchyma numbers led to more CH₄ transport to the atmosphere. However, more aerenchyma tissues as affected by e [CO₂] were likely to lead to more O₂ diffusion into the soil and facilitate CH₄ oxidation (Schrope et al., 1999; Yu et al., 2022c). Thus, under e [CO₂] in the future, it is necessary to select high-yield rice varieties with lower tiller numbers to cope with more CH₄ emissions from paddies (Jiang et al., 2017; Yu et al., 2022b). These interpretations could contribute to the reduction of CH₄ from paddies under long-term e [CO₂].

In wetlands, it was clear that water table levels directly influenced CH_4 emission responses under e $[CO_2]$, which essentially differed from the flood-water environment in paddies (Boardman et al., 2011; Lin et al., 2021). This was because e $[CO_2]$ stimulation of plant production



Fig. 5. A schematic diagram illustrating the effects of e $[CO_2]$ on CH_4 emissions from paddies and wetlands. e $[CO_2]$, elevated CO_2 concentration. "+" and "-" represent increase and decrease in CH_4 emissions from paddies and wetlands in response to e $[CO_2]$, respectively. The widths of the brown arrows denote the magnitude of CH_4 emissions influenced by e $[CO_2]$.

was diminished by the increase in water table levels above (Zhu et al. (2022). In the present study, the response of wetland CH₄ emissions to e [CO₂] might be weakened regardless of the decrease or increase of water table levels (Fig. 4l), indicating that CH₄ emissions under e [CO₂] can be reduced by regulating wetland water levels. Under future climate conditions, environmental factors such as uneven rainfall, rising sea levels, or drought might change water table levels in wetlands, which would indirectly affect the response of CH₄ emissions in wetlands to e [CO₂]. But as a result, no matter whether the water table levels of coastal wetlands rise due to the increase in relative sea levels or that of inland freshwater wetlands fall due to uneven rainfall or drought, they will lead to the reduction of e [CO2]-induced CH4 emissions, which may have a certain positive effect on the mitigation of climate warming (Bridgman et al., 2020; Silvola et al., 2003). As for the mechanism, on the one hand, the decrease in water table levels might lead to the change of anaerobic conditions of wetland soils and the increase of soil redox potential, thus inhibiting the production of CH₄. On the other hand, the rise of water table levels might inhibit CH₄ transport, thus reducing CH₄ emissions in wetlands (Lin et al., 2021; Mueller et al., 2020; Vann and Megonigal, 2003; Zhao et al., 2023). However, the current statistical data is relatively small, and the relevant mechanism needs to be further studied. Furthermore, based on the limited data in our study, the response of CH4 emissions to e [CO₂] may also be diverse under different wetland types and vegetation cover conditions (Fig. 2). Therefore, consistent with our hypothesis (ii), the different responses of CH₄ emissions from paddies and wetlands to e [CO₂] might be related to various influencing factors (Fig. 5).

4.3. Future work

This meta-analysis focused on the effects of e $[CO_2]$ alone on CH₄ emissions from paddies and wetlands. The results showed that the factors contributing to the e $[CO_2]$ -induced stimulation effects were different in paddies and wetlands and the effects may vary over time scales. However, some uncertainties remain: (i), future climate change is a cross-cutting change involving multiple factors such as temperature, ozone, drought, radiation, and N deposition. Their comprehensive impacts are poorly considered. (ii), due to the high operating costs of e $[CO_2]$ platforms, global *in-situ* experimental data on long-term e $[CO_2]$ effects in paddy and wetland ecosystems are limited. The present studies cover only the short ranges of e $[CO_2]$ duration and lack comparisons of short- and long-term e $[CO_2]$ effects on CH₄ emissions in paddies and wetlands (Marsh et al., 2005; Yu et al., 2022c). (iii), e $[CO_2]$ is a gradual rather than an abrupt increase, whereby the study of the response of CH₄

emissions to gradual e $[CO_2]$ limits our ability to infer long-term effects on the time scale (Shen et al., 2023; Wang et al., 2022). Nevertheless, it could be inferred that the stimulation effects of e $[CO_2]$ on CH_4 emissions from paddies and wetlands might be weakened and even inhibited as the duration of e $[CO_2]$ increases over time (Fig. 2). Therefore, we suggest that more combined laboratory and field experiments under long-term e $[CO_2]$ are needed to verify the relevant mechanisms, to better elucidate the effects of e $[CO_2]$ on carbon cycling in paddies and wetlands.

We preliminarily clarified the main controlling factors of CH₄ emissions from paddies and wetlands to e [CO₂] (Fig. 4). This is meaningful for how to reduce the emissions and reveal their underline mechanism. However, a more rigorous ecological simulation environment of future climate needs to be developed to further validate the response of carbon cycling to climate change by integrating more biogeochemical factors. In recent years, it has been widely accepted that microorganisms play important roles in global carbon biogeochemical cycles. However, current biogeochemical models predicting the feedback of terrestrial ecosystems to climate change generally do not include microorganisms (Liu et al., 2018). Therefore, it is necessary to further in-depth study the microbial mechanisms of CH₄ emissions in response to climate change. Furthermore, in addition to e [CO₂], related microorganisms also have sensitive responses to other climate factors, such as temperature, ozone, drought, radiation, and N deposition. Thus, while studying the response of carbon cycling to climate change, it is necessary to pay close attention to the response of related microbial ecology to the interactions of climate factors (Niu et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2018).

More importantly, current studies on e [CO2]-induced CH4 emissions from paddies and wetlands are mainly concentrated in some countries in the Northern hemisphere, whereas studies on the effects of e [CO2] on CH4 emissions from paddies in some Southeast Asian and South African countries, and wetlands in Congo Basin in Africa and Amazon Plain in South America are seriously lacking (Fig. S1). Although the estimated area of paddies is becoming more accurate, the diversification of field management measures will inevitably affect the response of paddy CH₄ emissions to e [CO2] (Qian et al., 2022b; Yu et al., 2022c; Yun et al., 2012). Thus, according to the response of e [CO₂]-induced CH₄ emissions to field management measures in different regions, the optimal solution of economic and environmental benefits of paddies under future climate conditions could be obtained. For wetlands, CH4 emissions are calculated as the products of flux density and CH₄-producing area or surface area (Yuan et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2021). However, there are still uncertainties in the estimation of their area, which might also have a certain impact on the estimation of e [CO2]-induced CH4

emissions from wetlands. On the other hand, wetland reclamation is intensifying in recent years, which will inevitably lead to the continuous reduction of wetland areas (Ma et al., 2019). At the same time, the conversion of natural wetlands into farmland or aquaculture ponds could exacerbate the carbon and N cycles, which in turn increases greenhouse gas emissions (Davidson et al., 2021; Li et al., 2021; Yang et al., 2022). Therefore, under future climate conditions, the studies of exploring the response of wetland CH₄ emissions to e [CO₂] require comprehensive exploration of more accurate area estimation and *in-situ* observation tests in various wetland types to reduce the uncertainty of assessment.

5. Conclusion

e [CO₂] stimulated overall CH₄ emissions from global paddies by increasing belowground biomass, methanogens, and methanogens/ methanotrophs. However, in our study, the current statistical data showed that e [CO₂] might not affect CH₄ emissions from global wetlands. Particularly, rice tiller number mediated the response of CH₄ emissions to e [CO₂] in paddies, and water table levels modulated e [CO₂]-induced CH₄ emissions in wetlands. Our scaling-up estimate found that global CH₄ emissions were increased under short-term e [CO₂] while were decreased (no change) under long-term e [CO₂] in paddies (wetlands). Although the results need to be confirmed in longterm field experiments, our findings suggest that e [CO₂]-induced CH₄ emissions from paddies and wetlands may be smaller than previously thought.

Credit author statement

Haiyang Yu: Data curation, Investigation, Writing-original draft. Xuechen Zhang: Conceptualization, Writing-review & editing. Xiangtian Meng: Data curation. Dan Luo: Investigation. Zhengfu Yue: Supervision. Yaying Li: Writing-review & editing. Yongxiang Yu: Writing-review & editing. Huaiying Yao: Conceptualization, Writing-review & editing.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Data availability

Data will be made available on request.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (42021005, 42077036) and Ningbo Municipal Science and Technology Bureau (2021Z047). We would also like to extend sincere thanks to the editor and reviewers for their valuable and constructive comments and suggestions that improved the quality of this paper greatly.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2023.115842.

References

Abbasi, M.K., Mueller, C., 2011. Trace gas fluxes of CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O in a permanent grassland soil exposed to elevated CO₂ in the Giessen FACE study. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 11, 9333–9342.

- Allen, L.H., Albrecht, S.L., Colon-Guasp, W., Covell, S.A., Baker, J.T., Pan, D.Y., et al., 2003. Methane emissions of rice increased by elevated carbon dioxide and temperature. J. Environ. Qual. 32, 1978–1991.
- Bhattacharyya, P., Roy, K.S., Nayak, A.K., Shahid, M., Lal, B., Gautam, P., et al., 2017. Metagenomic assessment of methane production-oxidation and nitrogen metabolism of long term manured systems in lowland rice paddy. Sci. Total Environ. 586, 1245–1253.
- Boardman, C.P., Gauci, V., Watson, J.S., Blake, S., Beerling, D.J., 2011. Contrasting wetland CH₄ emission responses to simulated glacial atmospheric CO₂ in temperate bogs and fens. New Phytol. 192, 898–911.
- Bridgman, M.J., Lomax, B.H., Sjogersten, S., 2020. Impacts of elevated atmospheric CO₂ and plant species composition on methane emissions from subarctic wetlands. Wetlands 40, 609–618.
- Conrad, R., 2007. Microbial ecology of methanogens and methanotrophs. Adv. Agron. 96, 1–63.
- Dacey, J.W.H., Drake, B.G., Klug, M.J., 1994. Stimulation of methane emission by carbon-dioxide enrichment of marsh vegetation. Nature 370, 47–49.
- Davidson, N.C., Fluet-Chouinard, E., Finlayson, C.M., 2018. Global extent and distribution of wetlands: trends and issues. Mar. Freshw. Res. 69, 620–627.
- Davidson, S.J., Smith, M., Prystupa, E., Murray, K., Nwaishi, F.C., Petrone, R.M., et al., 2021. High sulfate concentrations maintain low methane emissions at a constructed fen over the first seven years of ecosystem development. Sci. Total Environ. 789, 148014.
- FAO. Food and agriculture data 2020. https://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/QC. Hedges, L.V., Gurevitch, J., Curtis, P.S., 1999. The meta-analysis of response ratios in
- experimental ecology. Ecology 80, 1150–1156.
- IPCC, 2021. The Physical Science Basis. Working Group I Contribution to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Hange. Climate Change. United Kingdom and New York).
- Jiang, Y., van Groenigen, K.J., Huang, S., Hungate, B.A., van Kessel, C., Hu, S., et al., 2017. Higher yields and lower methane emissions with new rice cultivars. Global Change Biol. 23, 4728–4738.
- Kao-Kniffin, J., Freyre, D.S., Balser, T.C., 2011. Increased methane emissions from an invasive wetland plant under elevated carbon dioxide levels. Appl. Soil Ecol. 48, 309–312.
- Li, J., Leng, Z.R., Wu, Y.M., Li, G.L., Ren, G.Q., Wu, G.R., et al., 2021. The impact of sea embankment reclamation on greenhouse gas GHG fluxes and stocks in invasive spartina alterniflora and native phragmites australis wetland marshes of East China. Sustainability 13.
- Li, Y., Yuan, H., Chen, A., Xiao, M., Deng, Y., Ye, R., et al., 2022. Legacy effect of elevated CO₂ and N fertilization on mineralization and retention of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) rhizodeposit-C in paddy soil aggregates. Soil Ecology Letters 4, 78–91.
- Lin, Y., Yuan, J., Liu, D., Kang, H., Freeman, C., Hu, H., et al., 2021. Divergent responses of wetland methane emissions to elevated atmospheric CO₂ dependent on water table. Water Res. 205, 117682.
- Liu, S., Ji, C., Wang, C., Chen, J., Jin, Y., Zou, Z., et al., 2018. Climatic role of terrestrial ecosystem under elevated CO₂: a bottom-up greenhouse gases budget. Ecol. Lett. 21, 1108–1118.
- Ma, T.T., Li, X.W., Bai, J.H., Cui, B.S., 2019. Impacts of coastal reclamation on natural wetlands in large river deltas in China. Chin. Geogr. Sci. 29, 640–651.
- Malyan, S.K., Bhatia, A., Kumar, A., Gupta, D.K., Singh, R., Kumar, S.S., et al., 2016. Methane production, oxidation and mitigation: a mechanistic understanding and comprehensive evaluation of influencing factors. Sci. Total Environ. 572, 874–896.
- Marsh, A.S., Rasse, D.P., Drake, B.G., Megonigal, J.P., 2005. Effect of elevated CO₂ on carbon pools and fluxes in a brackish marsh. Estuaries 28, 694–704.
- Megonigal, J.P., Schlesinger, W.H., 1997. Enhanced CH_4 emissions from a wetland soil exposed to elevated CO_2 . Biogeochemistry 37, 77–88.
- Mueller, P., Mozdzer, T.J., Langley, J.A., Aoki, L.R., Noyce, G.L., Megonigal, J.P., 2020. Plant species determine tidal wetland methane response to sea level rise. Nat. Commun. 11, 5154.
- Niu, S., Wang, S., Wang, J., Xia, J., Yu, G., 2020. Integrative ecology in the era of big data: from observation to prediction. Sci. China Earth Sci. 63, 1429–1442.
- NOAA. https://gml.noaa.gov/ccgg/trends/. Carbon cycle greenhouse gases 2022, Earth system research laboratories and global monitoring laboratory.
- Oechel, W.C., Vourlitis, G.L., 1994. The effects of climate-change on land atmosphere feedbacks in arctic tundra regions. Trends Ecol. Evol. 9, 324–329.
- Phillips, R.L., Whalen, S.C., Schlesinger, W.H., 2001. Influence of atmospheric CO₂ enrichment on methane consumption in a temperate forest soil. Global Change Biol. 7, 557–563.
- Qian, H., Chen, J., Zhu, X., Wang, L., Liu, Y., Zhang, J., et al., 2022a. Intermittent flooding lowers the impact of elevated atmospheric CO₂ on CH₄ emissions from rice paddies. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 329, 107872.
- Qian, H., Huang, S., Chen, J., Wang, L., Hungate, B.A., van Kessel, C., et al., 2020. Lowerthan-expected CH₄ emissions from rice paddies with rising CO₂ concentrations. Global Change Biol. 26, 2368–2376.
- Qian, H., Jin, Y., Chen, J., Huang, S., Liu, Y., Zhang, J., et al., 2022b. Acclimation of CH₄ emissions from paddy soil to atmospheric CO₂ enrichment in a growth chamber experiment. Crop Journal 10, 140–146.
- Qin, X., Wu, H., Jian, L., 2015. Methane emissions from wetlands in China: effects of wetland type and climate zone. Carbon Manag. 5, 535–541.
- Saarnio, S., Winiwarter, W., Leitao, J., 2009. Methane release from wetlands and watercourses in Europe. Atmos. Environ. 43, 1421–1429.
- Saunois, M., Stavert, A.R., Poulter, B., Bousquet, P., Canadell, J.G., Jackson, R.B., et al., 2020. The global methane budget 2000-2017. Earth Syst. Sci. Data 12, 1561–1623.

H. Yu et al.

- Schrope, M.K., Chanton, J.P., Allen, L.H., Baker, J.T., 1999. Effect of CO₂ enrichment and elevated temperature on methane emissions from rice, *Oryza sativa*. Global Change Biol. 5, 587–599.
- Shen, L., Ren, B., Jin, Y., Liu, X., Jin, J., Huang, H., et al., 2023. Effects of abrupt and gradual increase of atmospheric CO₂ concentration on methanotrophs in paddy fields. Environ. Res. 223, 115474.
- Silvola, J., Saarnio, S., Foot, J., Sundh, I., Greenup, A., Heijmans, M., et al., 2003. Effects of elevated CO₂ and N deposition on CH₄ emissions from European mires. Global Biogeochem. Cycles 17, 1068.
- van Groenigen, K.J., Osenberg, C.W., Hungate, B.A., 2011. Increased soil emissions of potent greenhouse gases under increased atmospheric CO₂. Nature 475, 214-U121. Vann, C.D., Megonigal, J.P., 2003. Elevated CO₂ and water depth regulation of methane
- Vann, C.D., Megonigal, J.P., 2003. Elevated CO₂ and water depth regulation of methan emissions: comparison of woody and non-woody wetland plant species. Biogeochemistry 63, 117–134.
- Wang, D., Li, J., Wan, Y., Ye, Li, Qin, X., Gao, Q., et al., 2018. Responses of yield, CH₄ and N₂O emissions to elevated atmospheric temperature and CO₂ concentration in a double rice cropping system. Eur. J. Agron. 96, 60–69.
- Wang, Y., Hu, Z., Liu, C., Wu, Z., Chen, S., 2022. Methane emissions in japonica rice paddy fields under different elevated CO₂ concentrations. Nutrient Cycl. Agroecosyst. 122, 173–189.
- Xia, L., Lam, S.K., Chen, D., Wang, J., Tang, Q., Yan, X., 2017. Can knowledge-based N management produce more staple grain with lower greenhouse gas emission and reactive nitrogen pollution? A meta-analysis. Global Change Biol. 23, 1917–1925.
- Xia, L., Lam, S.K., Kiese, R., Chen, D., Luo, Y., van Groenigen, K.J., et al., 2021. Elevated CO₂ negates O₃ impacts on terrestrial carbon and nitrogen cycles. One Earth 4, 1752–1763.
- Xia, L., Lam, S.K., Wang, S., Zhou, W., Chen, D., Yan, X., 2020. Optimizing nitrogen fertilization rate to enhance soil carbon storage and decrease nitrogen pollution in paddy ecosystems with simultaneous straw incorporation. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 298, 106968.
- Xia, L., Ti, C., Li, B., Xia, Y., Yan, X., 2016. Greenhouse gas emissions and reactive nitrogen releases during the life-cycles of staple food production in China and their mitigation potential. Sci. Total Environ. 556, 116–125.
- Xia, L., Wang, S., Yan, X., 2014. Effects of long-term straw incorporation on the net global warming potential and the net economic benefit in a rice-wheat cropping system in China. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 197, 118–127.

- Xie, B., Zhou, Z., Mei, B., Zheng, X., Dong, H., Wang, R., et al., 2012. Influences of freeair CO2 enrichment (FACE), nitrogen fertilizer and crop residue incorporation on CH4 emissions from irrigated rice fields. Nutrient Cycl. Agroecosyst. 93, 373–385.
- Yang, P., Lai, D.Y.F., Yang, H., Lin, Y.X., Tong, C., Hong, Y., et al., 2022. Large increase in CH₄ emission following conversion of coastal marsh to aquaculture ponds caused by changing gas transport pathways. Water Res. 222, 118882.
- Yu, H., Wang, T., Huang, Q., Song, K., Zhang, G., Ma, J., et al., 2022a. Effects of elevated CO₂ concentration on CH₄ and N₂O emissions from paddy fields: a meta-analysis. Sci. China Earth Sci. 65, 96–106.
- Yu, H., Zhang, G., Ma, J., Wang, T., Song, K., Huang, Q., et al., 2022b. Elevated atmospheric CO₂ reduces CH₄ and N₂O emissions under two contrasting rice cultivars from a subtropical paddy field in China. Pedosphere 32, 707–717.
- Yu, H., Zhang, G., Xia, L., Huang, Q., Ma, J., Zhu, C., et al., 2022c. Elevated CO₂ does not necessarily enhance greenhouse gas emissions from rice paddies. Sci. Total Environ. 810, 152363.
- Yuan, F., Wang, Y., Ricciuto, D.M., Shi, X., Yuan, F., Hanson, P.J., et al., 2021. An integrative model for soil biogeochemistry and methane processes. II: warming and elevated CO₂ effects on peatland CH₄ emissions. Journal of Geophysical Research-Biogeosciences 126, e2020JG005963.
- Yun, S.-I., Kang, B.-M., Lim, S.-S., Choi, W.-J., Ko, J., Yoon, S., et al., 2012. Further understanding CH₄ emissions from a flooded rice field exposed to experimental warming with elevated CO₂. Agric. For. Meteorol. 154, 75–83.
- Zhang, Z., Fluet-Chouinard, E., Jensen, K., McDonald, K., Hugelius, G., Gumbricht, T., et al., 2021. Development of the global dataset of wetland area and dynamics for methane modeling (WAD2M). Earth Syst. Sci. Data 13, 2001–2023.
- Zhao, M., Li, P., Song, W., Chu, X., Eller, F., Wang, X., et al., 2023. Inundation depth stimulates plant-mediated CH₄ emissions by increasing ecosystem carbon uptake and plant height in an estuarine wetland. Funct. Ecol., 14258
- Zheng, X., Zhou, Z., Wang, Y., Zhu, J., Wang, Y., Yue, J., et al., 2006. Nitrogen-regulated effects of free-air CO₂ enrichment on methane emissions from paddy rice fields. Global Change Biol. 12, 1717–1732.
- Zhu, C., Langley, J.A., Ziska, L.H., Cahoon, D.R., Megonigal, J.P., 2022. Accelerated sealevel rise is suppressing CO_2 stimulation of tidal marsh productivity: a 33-year study. Sci. Adv. 8, eabn0054.