Dissipation of antibiotics by microalgae: Kinetics, identification of transformation products and pathways
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**Abstract**

Dissipation potential of four algae viz. *Haematococcus pluvialis*, *Selenastrum capricornutum*, *Scenedesmus quadricauda* and *Chlorella vulgaris* was investigated against ten antibiotics (sulfamerazine, sulfamethoxazole, sulfamonomethoxine, trimethoprim, clarithromycin, azithromycin, roxithromycin, lomefloxacin, levofloxacin and flumequine) in a series of synthetic wastewater batch culture experiments, maintained at 20, 50 and 100 μg L⁻¹ initial concentration levels and incubated over a period of 40 days. Generally, the antibiotic removal was achieved with overall dissipation percentage (%) varying among the algal species and different antibiotics. Biodegradation was the major antibiotic removal mechanism from the dissolved fraction, with minor contributions of biosorption, bioaccumulation, and abiotic factors. The antibiotics dissipation followed the pseudo-first-order-kinetics with the fastest antibiotic degradation rate achieved by *H. pluvialis*. The Monod kinetics was successfully applied to explain the relationship between the algal growth and the removal of antibiotics and nutrients in the batch cultures. *S. capricornutum* and *C. vulgaris* showed more affinity for the macrolides and fluoroquinolones than sulfonamides, while, *H. pluvialis* and *S. quadricauda* showed relatively higher preference for sulfonamides than the other antibiotic groups. A total of 10 transformation products were identified and the transformation pathway was proposed, accordingly. Most of the transformation products had lower toxicity compared with their parent antibiotics.
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1. Introduction

World-wide demand of antibiotics is increasing indefinitely with increase in population and expansion in livestock sector. The partial metabolism (17–90 %) of antibiotics in humans and animals results in the release of antibiotics through urine and feces (Van Epps and Blaney, 2016; Albero et al., 2018) that ultimately contaminate the aquatic and terrestrial environments. Conventional wastewater treatment, which consists of physical, chemical, and biological processes, has shown a limited amount of removal capacities for many antibiotic compounds (Wang et al., 2018; Ashfaq et al., 2017; Sun et al., 2016). Hence, considering the high mass loads of antibiotic residues in the effluents and sludge (Wang et al., 2018; Ashfaq et al., 2017; Sun et al., 2016), great concerns have been raised due to their persistence and potential environmental risk under the current wastewater treatment technologies.

Due to the inherent N and P rich content of wastewater that can essentially facilitate the growth of microalgae, microalgae-based techniques are being revived in the wastewater treatment for the removal of nutrients and organic contaminants (Nagarajan et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2016). The studies on the removal of sulfonamides by *Scene-desmus obliquus* (Xiong et al., 2019a), removal of cepradine and amox-icillin by *Microcystis aeruginosa* and *Chlorella pyrenoidosa* (Du et al., 2018), removal of cephalosporin antibiotic 7-amino cephalosporanic acid (7-ACA) by *Chlorella sp.* from wastewater (Guo et al., 2016), have provided evidence that microalgae-based technique might be a potential alternate strategy as an additional treatment to improve the wastewater quality. Besides, due to the less sensitivity to thiampenicil, erythromycin, ampicillin, sulfadimethoxine and norflaxacin, *Chlorella vulgaris* was used for the antibiotic wastewater bioremediation (Eguchi et al., 2004), while, *S. quadricauda* had been used to remove tetracycline, owing to its high bioadsorption capacity (Daneshvar et al., 2018). Remarkably, most assessments of antibiotic biodegradation are piloted using single chemical or few chemicals at high concentrations, while, these chemicals are existent in wastewater effluents in mixtures at relatively low concentration (Hammersheij et al., 2019; Gojkovic et al., 2019). The heterogenous mixtures of organic and inorganic contaminants may show antagonistic, synergistic, or independent re-action with each other during the removal process of one or more components (Okpokwasili and Nweke, 2005). Therefore, the assessments of the removal efficiencies of a broad range of antibiotics by the microalgae should be further conducted.

In the microalgae treatment process, antibiotics can be removed via different mechanisms. The intracellular and extracellular biodegrada-tion are the most effective ways of organic contaminant removal by microalgae via mainly the enzyme reaction (Xiong et al., 2018). Enzymatic degradation occurs in a biphasic process, where, Cytochrome P450 increases the hydrophilicity of organic compounds (Thies et al., 1996) and makes them more prone for degradation by mono- and/or dioxygenase enzymes in the first phase (Foflonker et al., 2016; Khona et al., 2016). In the second phase, the catalytic reaction by the glutathione-S-transferase enzyme dissipates the organic compounds (Foflonker et al., 2016). The biosorption of antibiotics by microalgae cells has also been reported (Daneshvar et al., 2018; Angulo et al., 2018), while, the compounds with cationic groups tend to be adsorbed on the microalgal surface through the electrostatic interaction (Xiong et al., 2018). The bioaccumulation, which is an active process driven by the energy to uptake substrates (Sun et al., 2017; Davis et al., 2015), was also one of the essential ways in the antibiotic removal, including the trimethoprim, sulfamethoxazole and levofloxacin (Bai and Acharya, 2017; Xiong et al., 2017a). In addition, the abiotic reactions including hydrolysis and photolysis also contributed in the antibiotic removal (Guo et al., 2016; Li et al., 2018). Therefore, understanding the removal mechanism of algae under mixed antibiotic environment is of great importance.

The chemometric approaches by employing different kinetic models are useful techniques to explain the contaminant dissipation in batch cultures. Monod kinetics model (Jacques, 1949) can further describe the zero- and first-order biodegradation rates of the analytes and express the dependency of biodegradation rates on the biomass concentration (Okpokwasili and Nweke, 2005). Monod kinetics has been successfully used to describe the relationship of microalgae in the removal of nutrients (Pala and Bölükbas, 2005; Xin et al., 2010) and other contaminants (Pala and Bölükbas, 2005; Dutta et al., 2014). Similar approach was used in the present study to understand the relationship of microalgae in the removal of nutrients and antibiotics.

Although, the monitoring of the antibiotics and the analysis of the degradation kinetics provide useful information on the microalgae performance for antibiotic removal. Yet, the identification of transformation products (TPs) is also important, as the TPs may exist long after the parent antibiotics (Aga et al., 2016). In addition, the TPs of the antibiotics by microalgae-based treatment might show higher toxicity compared to the antibiotic compound (Xiong et al., 2019b; Shi et al., 2018), that can pose potential rise in the environmental risks. Nevertheless, the TPs are often unidentified (Aga et al., 2016). There is knowledge gap on the antibiotic TPs by microalgae treatment. So far, the application of liquid chromatography coupled with high resolution mass spectrometry technique has provided an efficient way to analyze the antibiotic TPs (Jaén-gil et al., 2018). With the help of computer modeling, mass spectral databases, and other data processing tools, the identification of TPs from the complex matrices is becoming more assessable, recently (Aga et al., 2016).

Freshwater microalgae viz. *Haematococcus pluvialis*, *Selenastrum capricornutum*, *Scene-desmus quadricauda* and *Chlorella vulgaris* have been demonstrated for the removal of organic pollutants and for their high value added products (Wang et al., 2019; Valitalo et al., 2017; Lv et al., 2018). In the present study, these four algal species were employed separately to remove ten frequently detected antibiotics, including sulfamerazine (SMR), sulfamethoxazole (SMX) and sulfamonoxime (SMM) from sulfonamide group; a pyrimidine inhibitor tri-methoprim (TMP); clarithromycin (CL), azithromycin (AZI), roxi-thromycin (ROX) from macrolide group; and lomefloxacin (LOM), levofloxacin (LEV) and flumequine (FLU) from fluoroquinolone anti-biotic group, in a series of batch culture experiments. Special emphasis were placed for: (1) understanding the removal mechanism and con-tri-bution via biodegradation, bioaccumulation, biosorption, or abiotic reaction, (2) elucidating the antibiotic dissipation kinetics, (3) identi-fication of potential TPs by high resolution mass spectrometry and evaluation the potential risks of the TPs.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Chemicals and reagents

High purity (≥ 98 %) certified reference standards of SM, SMX, SMM, TMP, CLA, AZI, ROX, LOM, LEV and FLU were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich, GmbH Fluka and AccuStandard. Their CAS number, molecular formula, molecular weight, chemical structure, and other physico-chemical properties are given in Table S1 in the supplementary information (SI). HPLC grade methanol and formic acid were purchased from Merck. Milli-Q water system (Millipore) produced ultrapure water was used during sample processing and chromatography. Stock solutions for each antibiotic were prepared in methanol at 1000 μg ml⁻¹. Subsequently, the mix working standard solutions of 100.0, 10.0 and 1.0 μg ml⁻¹ concentrations of all the antibiotics were prepared in methan-and stored at −20 °C, that were later used for the preparation of calibration regimes for chromatographic analysis and for spiking the batch cultures.

2.2. Microalgae culture conditions

Biodegradation assays were conducted in batch culture experiments by using FACHB-874, FACHB-271, FACHB-1475 and FACHB-24 strains.
of *H. pluvialis*, *S. capricornutum*, *S. quadricauda* and *C. vulgaris*, respectively. The algal cultures were obtained from the Institute of Hydrobiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences. The microalgal cultures were multiplied and maintained on BG11 medium (Stanier et al., 1971) under autotrophic conditions at 25 ± 1 °C with fluorescent light illumination of 12 h light/12 h dark cycle (Fig. S1) in a controlled-growth chamber (PGX-350B, Safu Experimental Apparatus Technology, China).

2.3. Batch culture experiments

The biodegradation efficacy of antibiotics by microalgae was studied in batch culture experiments by using 250 ml pre-sterilized synthetic wastewater (Xu et al., 2014) (Table S2) in 500 ml Erlenmeyer flasks in triplicate. Microalgal cultures at mid-logarithmic growth phase in the BG11 medium were centrifuged with subsequent washing of the pellet with pre-sterilized synthetic wastewater for the inoculation purpose. The microalgal pellet was transferred to the batch culture flasks to maintain an initial microalgal population of 1 × 10⁶ cells mL⁻¹ for each algae. Control experiments were also conducted by using pre-sterilized synthetic wastewater without microalgae to elucidate the possible role of abiotic factors in the degradation of antibiotics. The microalgal batch cultures and the control experiments were spiked by adding 50, 125 and 250 μl of 100 μg ml⁻¹ methanolic mix antibiotic standard solution to achieve 20, 50 and 100 μg L⁻¹ initial concentrations, respectively. Set of flasks with and without microalgae were left un-spiked to serve as blank. The microalgal batch cultures were incubated at 25 ± 2 °C under 12 h light/12 h dark cycle fluorescent light (Fig. S1). The control flasks were kept in dark or the fluorescent light to indicate the hydrolysis or photolysis of antibiotics, respectively. The experiments were established over a period of 40 days. Aliquots (7.0 ml) were drawn from each treatment and control at 0, 5, 10, 15, 20, 30, and 40-day time interval to evaluate the microalgae growth, nutrient and antibiotic concentrations.

2.4. Measurement of algal growth

The algal cells were initially counted by hemocytometer at 400 × magnification under microscope (Zeiss Axio Imager A1), while, the absorbance of the microalgal culture was monitored at 680 nm using UV/Vis spectrophotometer (UV-5200, Metash, China). The concentration factor (CF) was then evaluated based on the measured absorbance against the counted cell numbers of each microalgal species according to the linear regression model (Burns et al., 2012). The CF values for *H. pluvialis*, *S. capricornutum*, *S. quadricauda* and *C. vulgaris* were 79.9, 213.3, 235.9 and 146.8, with R² values of 0.99, 0.95, 0.99 and 0.99, respectively. Algal growth in the batch culture was then evaluated based on Eq. 1 by monitoring the absorbance at 680 nm.

\[
\text{n} = \frac{(\text{OD} \times \text{CF} \times 400 \times 10,000)}{80}
\]

(1)

Where, \( n \) is the number of algal cells (cells ml⁻¹), OD is absorbance at 680 nm, and CF is the concentration factor.

2.5. Analysis of nutrients and antibiotics

The determination of PO₄³⁻-P and NO₃⁻-N was carried out by microplate technique (Hernández-López and Vargas-Albores, 2003). Detailed description of the microplate technique is given in Text S1.

The dissolved, bioadsorbed, and bioaccumulated antibiotic residues were analyzed in three steps. (1) For the dissolved antibiotics, 6.0 ml sample was centrifuged at 4500 rpm for 5 min and the supernatant (4.0 ml) was frozen at −20 °C, subsequently, freeze-dried with Labconco freeze-dryer (FreeZone 6L Benchtop, USA), reconstituted in 1.0 ml methanol and passed through a 0.22 μm membrane filter (Anpel Laboratory Technologies, Shanghai) in LC-vial, and stored at −20 °C prior to further analysis. (2) The pellet produced by centrifugation of 6.0 ml sample described above, was dispersed and vortex mixed in 6.0 ml Milli-Q water to wash the adsorbed antibiotics from algal cells (Xiong et al., 2016). The mixture was centrifuged at 4500 rpm for 5 min and 5.0 ml of supernatant was freeze dried, reconstituted in 1.0 ml methanol, filtered, and stored at −20 °C for the determination of biosorbed antibiotics. (3) For the bioaccumulated antibiotics fraction, the surface washed algal cells in the pellet were mixed with 6.0 ml of dichloromethane:methanol (1:2 v/v) and sonicated for 1 h (40 kHz, 2.2 Kw) to facilitate the cell lysis and the release of cell sap (Xiong et al., 2016). Subsequently, the mixture was centrifuged at 4500 rpm for 10 min. The supernatant was retrieved and concentrated to near dryness under gentle nitrogen stream and reconstituted in 1.0 ml methanol and filtered into LC-vial.

The quantitative determination of antibiotics was carried out by using liquid chromatography with triple quadrupole mass spectrometry (LC-QqQ-MS, ABI 3200 QTRAP, USA) by multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) in positive electrospray ionization (+ ESI) mode (Table S3). The chromatographic separation of the antibiotics was achieved by a Kinetex 2.6 μm C18 column (100 mm × 2.1 mm, Phenomenex, USA) on Shimadzu LC system (LC-20A, Shimadzu, Japan) using binary gradient mobile phase constituting ultrapure water buffered with 0.1 % formic acid (A) and HPLC grade methanol (B). The ratio of B was ramped from 5% at 0.01 min to 80% at 0.5 min, 100% from 1.5 to 3.0 minutes, and 5% at 3.1 min at a total flow rate of 0.8 mL min⁻¹ and total runtime of 4.5 min.

2.6. Identification of the TPs

The TPs were monitored in the microalgal batch cultures spiked at 20 and 100 μg L⁻¹ antibiotic concentrations at 0, 5, 15 and 30 sampling days. TPs in 100 μg L⁻¹ spiking level batch culture were monitored in the same samples already drawn for the determination of dissolved antibiotics (described in section 2.5). In view of the expected low TP concentrations in 20 μg L⁻¹ batch cultures, an aliquot (10 ml) was drawn from the batch culture, freeze dried, concentrated in 1.00 ml methanol, purified by 0.22μm syringe filter and stored in −20 °C freezer.

The samples were analyzed by UPLC-ESI-HRMS containing an ACQUITY UPLC I-Class System (Aquity, UK) coupled with Q-ToF (H- Class-Xevo G2-XS, Waters, UK) in + ESI mode with data collection by MS² methodology. The chromatographic separation was achieved by a Kinetex 2.6 μm C18 column (100 mm × 2.1 mm, Phenomenex, USA) by using binary gradient mobile phase constituting ultrapure water buffered with 0.1 % formic acid (A) and HPLC grade methanol (B). The concentration of B was ramped from 5% at 0.01 min to 95% at 8 min, 95% from 8.0 to 10.01 min, and 5% at 10.01 min at a total flow rate of 0.3 mL min⁻¹ and total runtime of 12.0 min. The injection volume was 5.0 μL and the mass accuracy was maintained by employing locksparse function with leucine-enkephalin. The capillary and lockspray capillary voltages were set at 3.2 kV and 1.20 kV, respectively. The corona, cone, sampling cone and extraction cone voltages were set at 3.0 kV, 40 V, 25 V and 4 V, respectively. The desolvation and source temperatures were set at 450 °C and 100 °C, respectively. Nitrogen (20 ± 2 °C; 10 psi) at a flow rate of 50 and 700 L h⁻¹ was used as cone and desolvation gases, respectively. MS was conducted with low collision energy (6 V), while, MS/MS was conducted at higher ranged range of collision energy from 20 to 30 V to induce fragmentation mass range from 100 to 1000 m/z. Data acquisition for the parent and subsequent fragmentation ions was simultaneously done by both MS and MS/MS functions by using 0.5 s scan time and 14 ms inter-scan delay. All data collection was performed in sensitivity mode (Resolution = 30,000–40,000).

Post-acquisition analyses were performed with MetaboLynx™ XS (v4.1) Application Manager (Waters Corp., Milford, MA, USA) that uses a broad list of probable biotransformation reactions in combination with the basic composition of the inserted molecules in a method file, to generate a sequence of extracted ion chromatograms. The identification
of TPs was carried out by employing the suspect screening approach. The potential TPs were proposed on the basis of published research, prediction system by EAWAG-Biodegradation/Biocatalysis Database (EAWAG-BBD), and built-in program of MetaboLynx™ XS software, and subsequently, confirmed by using MetaboLynx™ XS software with the criteria of error ≤ ± 5 mg L⁻¹. The metabolized sample data was compared with the control samples and the unique peaks appearing only in the experimental samples were considered as possible expected metabolites.

2.7. Data processing and statistical analysis

The dissipation percentage ($P_d$) of antibiotics by the biotic and abiotic degradation was calculated by using Eq. 2, while the biodegradation percentage ($P_b$) of the antibiotics induced by the microalgal species was calculated by using Eq. 3 (Xiong et al., 2017b):

$$P_d(\%) = \left( \frac{A_t - A_r - A_d - A_a}{A_t} \right) \times 100$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

$$P_b(\%) = \left( \frac{A_t - A_d - A_a - A_c}{A_t} \right) \times 100$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Where, $A_t$ is the initial concentration of each compound added to the medium, $A_r$ is the residual amount of each antibiotic in the medium, $A_d$ is the amount of each antibiotic bioadsorbed by the microalgal cell surface, $A_a$ is the amount of each antibiotic removed by abiotic processes, and $A_c$ is the amount of each antibiotic bioaccumulated in the microalgal cells.

The antibiotics dissipation kinetics was studied based on the overall

Fig. 1. Mean relative distribution (%) of antibiotics as dissipated, bioadsorbed, bioaccumulated and residual in batch cultures of (a) H. pluvialis, (b) S. capricornutum, (c) S. quadricauda, and (d) C. vulgaris spiked at 20 and 100 μg L⁻¹ concentration levels of antibiotics.
disappearance of the antibiotics by fitting the temporal residual concentration to a pseudo-first-order model (Eq. 4)

$$- \ln \left( \frac{C_t}{C_0} \right) = k \times t \quad (4)$$

Where, $C_0$ and $C_t$ are the antibiotic concentrations at initial “0” and time “t” intervals, respectively. The degradation rate constant ($k$) and half-life ($t_{1/2}$) were determined by Eqs. 5 and 6, respectively.

$$k = - \ln \left( \frac{C_t}{C_0} \right) / t \quad (5)$$

$$t_{1/2} = \frac{\ln (2)}{k} \quad (6)$$

The relationship between the algal cell growth and the removal of antibiotics and nutrients was characterized as the coefficients of Monod’s model (Jacques, 1949) which is a mathematical analogue of Michaelis-Menten kinetic model given as Eq. (7).

$$\mu = \mu_{max} \times \frac{S}{K_s + S} \quad (7)$$

Where, $\mu$ (h$^{-1}$) is the specific growth rate, $\mu_{max}$ (h$^{-1}$) is the maximum specific growth rate, $S$ (μg L$^{-1}$) is the substrate concentration and $K_s$ (μg L$^{-1}$) is the half-saturation constant defined as the concentration of the growth limiting substance (nutrients and antibiotics). The specific growth rate ($\mu$) of algae is one-half of the maximum specific growth rate ($\mu_{max}$). The specific growth rate ($\mu$) of algae was calculated for the exponential phase of growth curves produced for 20, 50 and 100 μg L$^{-1}$ initial concentration of the antibiotics by using Eq. 8.

$$\mu = \frac{ln(C_t) - ln (C_0)}{t - t_0} \quad (8)$$

Where, $C_t$ and $C_0$ are the concentrations of the substrate at final time $t$ and initial time $t_0$ of the exponential phase of the growth curve at each concentration. Monod coefficients, $K_s$ and $\mu_{max}$ were determined by using the Lineweaver-Burk plot of reciprocals of the specific growth rates (1/$\mu$) determined for respective substrate initial concentrations (1/$S$) as shown in Eq. 9.

$$\frac{1}{\mu} = \frac{K_s}{\mu_{max}} \times \frac{1}{S} + \frac{1}{\mu_{max}} \quad (9)$$

The appropriateness of the model was determined by regression coefficients ($R^2$), and root mean square error (RMSE). Subsequently, the divisions of algal cells per day ($D_2$) and the doubling time were calculated by Eqs. 10 and 11, respectively.

$$\text{Divisions per day} \ (D_2) = \frac{\mu_{max}}{ln \ (2)} \quad (10)$$

$$\text{Doubling time} = \frac{1}{D_2} \quad (11)$$

3. Results and discussions

3.1. Growth of algae

The natural logarithmic growth of *H. pluvialis*, *S. capricornutum*, *S. quadricauda* and *C. vulgaris* is given in Fig. S2. The growth pattern of the four algae at different antibiotic concentrations was almost consistent, where, the lag phase was absent and the exponential growth of algae was mostly observed between 0–5 days, with the exception of *S. capricornutum* in the un-spiked batch. The absence of lag phase in this study was probably due the reason that established algal cultures grown under similar conditions of the experimentation were used (de Morais and Costa, 2007). Generally, each alga exhibited a unique growth rate under different antibiotic concentrations. *H. pluvialis* showed a significant increase in the growth rate at higher antibiotic concentration compared to growth in control (un-spiked medium) (Fig. S2), indicating the utilization of organic compounds as nutritional sources (Santos Escapa et al., 2017). Similar pattern was observed for *C. vulgaris*. In case of *S. quadricauda* and *S. capricornutum*, an increased growth was observed at 20 μg L$^{-1}$ levels, however, at the higher concentration levels, the growth rate decreased. This might be due to the inhibitory effect of one or more of the antibiotics at higher concentration levels.

3.2. Bioadsorption and bioaccumulation of antibiotics

The mean partitioning of the antibiotics as bioadsorbed and bioaccumulated fraction, the quantity dissipated and left over as residues in synthetic wastewater spiked at 20 and 100 μg L$^{-1}$ concentrations in batch cultures of different algal species after 40 days are given in Fig. 1. The relative partitioning values for the individual spiking levels of 20 and 100 μg L$^{-1}$ are presented in Tables S4 and S5, respectively. Generally, a similar pattern of bioadsorption and bioaccumulation of the antibiotics was observed among different algal species, however, variation existed among different antibiotic groups. Bioaccumulation of sulfonamides was non-existent for all the four algae. Likewise, relatively low bioadsorption (1–4%) of sulfonamides was observed in *S. capricornutum*, *S. quadricauda* and *C. vulgaris*. Among the florquinolone antibiotics, no bioadsorption or bioaccumulation was observed for LOM, while, the bioadsorption ranged from 1–3% and bioaccumulation of 1–2% of LEV and FLU antibiotics for the four algae. Macrolide antibiotics (CLA, AZI and ROX) showed a relatively higher tendency of both bioadsorption and bioaccumulation compared to other antibiotic groups. Variations in the bioadsorption and bioaccumulation of macrolides also varied among the algal species. Bioadsorption of macrolides ranged between 2–4% in *H. pluvialis* and *C. vulgaris*, while, 6–7% in *S. capricornutum* and *S. quadricauda*. Bioaccumulation of the three macrolide antibiotics was consistent (2–3%) for *H. pluvialis*, *S. capricornutum* and *S. quadricauda*, while, relatively higher (4–5%) in *C. vulgaris*. Bioadsorption and bioaccumulation of the organic compounds depend upon the hydrophobicity of a compound that is indicated by the n-octanol water partitioning coefficient log Kow (Arpin-Pont et al., 2016). The compounds with higher log Kow generally have higher values of bioconcentration factor. Therefore, the sulfonamides and florquinolones with log Kow values 0.14–0.91 and -0.3–1.6 (Table S1), showed lower bioadsorption and bioaccumulation tendencies. In contrary, the log Kow of the macrolides ranged between 3.0–4.02 indicating higher hydrophobicity and a relatively higher tendency of bioadsorption and bioaccumulation were observed. In short, bioadsorption and bioaccumulation had minor contributions on the total removal of the antibiotics in this work.

3.3. Abiotic and biotic dissipation

The abiotic control experiments without algae under illuminated or dark conditions indicated photodegradation (Fig. S3) and hydrolysis (Fig. S4) of antibiotics, respectively. Among the three spiked levels, relatively higher removal of antibiotics was observed for 20 μg L$^{-1}$ compared to the higher spiked levels. The mean removal of antibiotics by photodegradation in 20, 50 and 100 μg L$^{-1}$ spiked concentrations over 40 days of incubation showed 5–8 % removal for the sulfonamides (SMR, SMX and SMM), 24–27 % for the macrolides (CLA, AZI, AZI) and 14 % for that of LEV and FLU (Fig. S5). Since, the illuminated control experiment was conducted in the absence of algal biomass, the self-shading phenomenon in the algal cultures may result in reduction in the photodegradation due to the poor light penetration in the presence of growing algal population (González-Camejo et al., 2019). Therefore, in view of the low effect of photodegradation in the illuminated control and the anticipated lower effect due to the self-shading in the batch culture experiments, the antibiotic removal was mainly ascribed to
biotic dissipation with minor contributions from bioadsorption, bioacumulation, and abiotic factors. However, LOM was an exception, where, more than 98% dissipation was caused by photolysis over 40-days incubation period (Fig S3). Hence, the removal of LOM was attributed to the abiotic photodegradation.

The mean removal of antibiotics for 20, 50 and 100 μg L\(^{-1}\) initial concentrations in the four batch cultures is presented in Fig S6. Microalgae-specific pattern of antibiotic removal were observed. \(H.\) \textit{pluvialis} was the most efficient algal specie with antibiotic removal efficiencies ranging between 42–100% with median value of 93 %, followed by \textit{S. capricornutum}, \textit{C. vulgaris} and \textit{S. quadricauda}, with the median removal efficiencies of 82 %, 78 %, and 47 %; ranging between 9–99%, 23–98%, and 10–100% for different antibiotics, respectively. The residual antibiotic concentration (\(C_t/C_0\)) versus time (days) plots for the three initial antibiotic concentrations in batch cultures of \textit{H. pluvialis}, \textit{S. capricornutum}, \textit{S. quadricauda}, and \textit{C. vulgaris} are presented in Figs 2 and S7–S9, respectively. The removal of antibiotics in the batch cultures at 20, 50 and 100 μg L\(^{-1}\) antibiotic concentrations. The NO\(_3\)^\(^-\)-N and PO\(_4\)^\(^3-\)P of sulfonamide group were 84 %, 74 %, and 75 %, respectively, while, it was only 37 % for TMP. Among the macrolide antibiotics, CLA, ROX, and AZI underwent 76 %, 63 %, and 78 % removal over 40-days of incubation. Similar removal efficiencies were reported for CLA and ROX in wastewater influent by four microalgae including \textit{C. vulgaris} (Zhou et al., 2014). Among the fluoroquinolones, the highest removal efficiency (93 %) was achieved for the photosensitive LOM. However, relatively lower removal was observed for LEV (60 %) and FLU (46 %). In a previous study, low removal of LEV (4 %) by \textit{S. obliquus} was reported in wastewater after 11-days of incubation (Xiong et al., 2017b).

3.4. Nutrient removal

The initial NO\(_3\)^\(^-\)-N and PO\(_4\)^\(^3-\)P concentrations in the batch cultures were 12.04 and 5.89 mg L\(^{-1}\), respectively. The removal of NO\(_3\)^\(^-\)-N and PO\(_4\)^\(^3-\)P in \textit{H. pluvialis}, \textit{S. capricornutum}, \textit{S. quadricauda} and \textit{C. vulgaris} batch cultures at 20, 50 and 100 μg L\(^{-1}\) mixed antibiotics spiked concentrations are given in Fig 3. The nutrient removal efficiencies varied among the algal species as well as the antibiotic spike concentrations. The removal efficiencies of NO\(_3\)^\(^-\)-N were almost similar at 20 μg L\(^{-1}\) spike level for the four batch cultures. However, significant variations were observed among the batch cultures at 50 and 100 μg L\(^{-1}\) antibiotic concentrations. The NO\(_3\)^\(^-\)-N removal was significantly higher (97 %) at 20 μg L\(^{-1}\) than at 50 and 100 μg L\(^{-1}\) concentrations in \textit{S. quadricauda}, corresponding to the growth rate of algae at different concentrations (Fig S2). The PO\(_4\)^\(^3-\)P removal in \textit{S. capricornutum} (76–78%) and \textit{S. quadricauda} (94–97%) was almost similar for the three antibiotic concentrations, while, it significantly varied among the antibiotic spiking levels for both \textit{H. pluvialis} and \textit{C. vulgaris}. In \textit{H. pluvialis} batch culture, relatively lower removal of PO\(_4\)^\(^3-\)P (68 %) was observed at 20 μg L\(^{-1}\) followed by 74 % and 85 % at 50 μg L\(^{-1}\) and 100 μg L\(^{-1}\) spiking levels, respectively. In contrary, the highest PO\(_4\)^\(^3-\)P removal (96 %) was recorded at 20 μg L\(^{-1}\), followed by 85 % and 77 % at 50 μg L\(^{-1}\) and 100 μg L\(^{-1}\) spiking levels, respectively for \textit{C. vulgaris}. Depletion of the nutrients was relatively faster in \textit{H. pluvialis} and \textit{C. vulgaris} than \textit{S. capricornutum} and \textit{S. quadricauda} batch cultures (Fig S10).

3.5. Monod kinetics

In the present study, Monod kinetics was applied to investigate the dissipation of antibiotics and nutrients by the algae. Single substrate utilization Monod model was used instead of a mixed substrate model with the assumption that the antibiotics and nutrients behave independent of each other. The parameters of Monod kinetics viz. maximum specific growth (\(\mu_{max}\)) and half-saturation constant (\(k_s\)) are presented in Table 2. The calculated parameters were validated by RMSE and \(R^2\) for the measured and the predicted specific growth rates. The \(R^2\) values ranged from 0.41 – 1.0, 0.64 – 1.0, 0.41 – 0.69 and 0.70 – 1.0 for \textit{H. pluvialis}, \textit{S. capricornutum}, \textit{S. quadricauda} and \textit{C. vulgaris}, respectively. Generally, the lower \(R^2\) values for Monod’s model suggest a more profound effect of substrate or product inhibition on the growth of biodegrading organism (Dutta et al., 2014). The \(\mu_{max}\) varied among algal species as well as with respect to different antibiotics and nutrients. Relatively higher \(\mu_{max}\) was observed for \textit{C. vulgaris} with median \(\mu_{max}\) value of 0.31 day\(^{-1}\) ranging from 0.30 – 0.31 day\(^{-1}\) followed by \textit{H. pluvialis} with median \(\mu_{max}\) value of 0.24 day\(^{-1}\) ranging from 0.05 – 0.65 day\(^{-1}\), \textit{S. quadricauda} with median \(\mu_{max}\) value of 0.21 day\(^{-1}\) ranging from 0.20 to 1.29 day\(^{-1}\), and \textit{S. capricornutum} with median \(\mu_{max}\) value of 0.08 day\(^{-1}\) ranging from 0.05 – 0.13 day\(^{-1}\). Similar specific growth rates for these microalgae were reported in previous studies (de Morais and Costa, 2007; Goswami and Kalita, 2011; Cheng et al., 2016).

Half saturation constant (\(k_s\)) is an important parameter that represents the concentration at which half of the maximum specific growth rate (\(\mu_{max}/2\)) is reached. It is also referred to as the affinity constant of the substrate, where, the compounds with low \(k_s\) values indicate higher affinity, while, those with higher \(k_s\) indicate low affinity (Kong et al., 2018) or inefficiency of the algae for biodegradation (Mulder and Hendriks, 2014). In the present study, lowest \(k_s\) values for

![Fig. 2. Antibiotic dissipation (C_t/C_0) in H. pluvialis at (a) 20, (b) 50 and (c) 100 μg L\(^{-1}\) initial concentration in batch cultures. Error bars represent ± standard error of mean.](image-url)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotics</th>
<th>Concentrations (μg/L)</th>
<th>H. pluvialis</th>
<th>S. capricornutum</th>
<th>S. quadricauda</th>
<th>C. vulgaris</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SMR</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.137 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.122 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.004 ± 0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.097 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.096 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.032 ± 0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.089 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.090 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.063 ± 0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMX</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.102 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.095 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.024 ± 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.107 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.115 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.059 ± 0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.083 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.099 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.037 ± 0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMP</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.027 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.020 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.024 ± 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.026 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.034 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.024 ± 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.037 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.057 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.076 ± 0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLA</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.050 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.020 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.033 ± 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.049 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.037 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.036 ± 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.049 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.071 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.064 ± 0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROX</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.033 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.020 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.024 ± 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.107 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.034 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.024 ± 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.093 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.057 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.076 ± 0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEV</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.078 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.020 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.033 ± 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.046 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.037 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.036 ± 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.049 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.071 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.064 ± 0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLU</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.103 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.034 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.024 ± 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.054 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.037 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.036 ± 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.088 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.057 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.076 ± 0.005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the antibiotics and nutrients were obtained for *S. capricornutum* that ranged from -0.38 to -15.36 μg L⁻¹ with an average value of -9.93 μg L⁻¹. According to Epplley et al., there is no physical interpretation of the negative value of *k*<sub>s</sub> (Epplley et al., 1969), however, Converti et al., attributed the negative values of *k*<sub>s</sub> to maximum degradation rate (Converti et al., 1999). The slope (*k*) of the pseudo-first-order degradation kinetic for the antibiotics (Table 1) also support the later argument. Value of *k* in *S. capricornutum* batch culture that ranged from 0.02 – 0.14 day⁻¹ excluding TMP (*k* = 0.005) also indicated relatively higher rates of degradation than *H. pluvialis*, *S. quadriricauda* and *C. vulgaris*, where, the values of *k* ranged from 0.03 – 0.11 day⁻¹, 0.01 – 0.06 day⁻¹ and 0.028 – 0.037 day⁻¹, respectively. *S. capricornutum* showed the nutritional choice of the order CLA > FLU > ROX > TRI > AZI > LEV > SMM > SMX > SMR. However, *H. pluvialis* showed the nutritional choice of the order CLA > FLU > ROX > TR > AZI > LEV > SMM > SMX > SMR for the antibiotics with negative *k*<sub>s</sub> values. This was followed by *C. vulgaris* batch culture, where, the *k* values ranged from 0.07 – 0.36 μg L⁻¹. *C. vulgaris* showed the affinities of the order LEV > ROX > TRI > SMM > SMX > FLU > SMM > AZI > CLA for the antibiotics. Considerably higher values of *k*<sub>s</sub> were obtained for antibiotics and nutrients in *H. pluvialis* and *S. quadriricauda* batch cultures that ranged from 2.79 – 103.7 μg L⁻¹ and 8.53–145.4 μg L⁻¹, respectively. These results indicated very low affinity of *H. pluvialis* and *S. quadriricauda* for the antibiotics. The affinities were of the order SMR > SMM > AZI > TRI > SMM > LEV > FLU > CLA > ROX for *H. pluvialis* and SMX > SMM > SMM > AZI > LEV > ROX > TRI > CLA > FLU for *S. quadriricauda*.

The use of *μ<sub>max</sub>*/*k*<sub>s</sub> ratio, which take into account the variations in *μ<sub>max</sub>* on the interpretation of *k*<sub>s</sub>, can better explain the competition among the limiting nutrients for the growth of biodegradiqng organisms by depicting the rate of biodegradation (Fareed et al., 2017). The higher *μ<sub>max</sub>*/*k*<sub>s</sub> ratio indicates higher growth rate at lower concentration level emphasizing a comparative advantage of an organism for biodegradation of a compound, while, the lower *μ<sub>max</sub>*/*k*<sub>s</sub> ratio indicates the slow growth rate of an organism at higher concentration level of a compound, suggesting, disadvantage for biodegradation and inefficient removal efficiency of the organism. The *μ<sub>max</sub>*/*k*<sub>s</sub> ratios are presented in Table 2. Highest values of *μ<sub>max</sub>*/*k*<sub>s</sub> ratio were obtained for *C. vulgaris* for almost all the antibiotics as well as for the NO<sub>3</sub>⁻ -N and PO<sub>4</sub>³⁻ -P nutrients. The *μ<sub>max</sub>*/*k*<sub>s</sub> ratio ranged from 0.88 for CLA with slowest degradation rate to 4.49 for LEV with highest rate of degradation by *C. vulgaris*. These results indicated the ability of *C. vulgaris* to simultaneously utilize homologous substances as a nutritional sources. Kovalová-Kovar and Egli reviewed such resource utilization by the organisms, where, the underlying principle of kinetics was described as utilization of mixtures of carbon sources (Kovalová-Kovar and Egli, 1998). The removal efficiency for NO<sub>3</sub>⁻ -N and PO<sub>4</sub>³⁻ -P was almost similar with *μ<sub>max</sub>*/*k*<sub>s</sub> ratios of 1.14 and 1.20, respectively. *C. vulgaris* was followed by *H. pluvialis* with *μ<sub>max</sub>*/*k*<sub>s</sub> ratios ranging from 0.007 – 0.084 for antibiotics with lowest rate of degradation for AZI and highest for SMR. However, *H. pluvialis* achieved highest antibiotic removal (42–100%) over a period of 40 days of incubation (Section 3.2). *H. pluvialis* showed poor efficiency for the removal of macrolides compared with other antibiotics used in this study. Nutrient removal efficiency of *H. pluvialis* also varied, where, relatively higher removal efficiency of NO<sub>3</sub>⁻ -N was observed compared to PO<sub>4</sub>³⁻ -P with *μ<sub>max</sub>*/*k*<sub>s</sub> ratios of 0.993 and 0.019, respectively. These results indicated a selective antibiotic removal efficiency of *H. pluvialis*. Similarly, consistently low and selective removal efficiency was also observed for *S. quadriricauda*. Relatively higher removal rates were observed for the sulfonamides, compared to fluoroquinolone and macrolide antibiotics. Considerably large variations in the removal efficiency of NO<sub>3</sub>⁻ -N and PO<sub>4</sub>³⁻ -P was also observed. The removal efficiency of the PO<sub>4</sub>³⁻ -P by *S. quadriricauda* was approximately two times higher than the NO<sub>3</sub>⁻ -N. *S. capricornutum* produced negative *μ<sub>max</sub>*/*k*<sub>s</sub> ratios indicating very slow rates of biodegradation and removal efficiencies. Both group-specific and compound-specific nutritional preferences and removal efficiencies were observed for different algae. *S. capricornutum* and *C. vulgaris* indicated more affinity for the macrolides and fluoroquinolones than sulfonamides, while, *H. pluvialis* and *S. quadriricauda* showed relatively higher preference for sulfonamides than the other antibiotic groups.

### 3.6. Identification of TPs

A total of 10 TPs were identified from algal batch cultures. Their retention time, detected m/z, proposed molecular formula, theoretical m/z, error (ppm), and proposed structures are listed in Table S6. Intensities of TPs by Q/ToF-MS in different microalgal cultures and at different sampling times are given in Table S7.

Eight metabolites viz. TP306-1, TP306-2, TP290-1, TP290-2, TP282, TP184, TP168 and TP154 were detected and identified as TPs of TMP in...
Table 2
Maximum specific growth rate (μ<sub>max</sub>) and half saturation constant (K<sub>s</sub>) parameters for Monod function and doubling time of different algae in relation to different antibiotics and nutrients. Model fitness criteria are indicated by root mean square error (RMSE) and regression coefficient (R<sup>2</sup>).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SMR</th>
<th>SMX</th>
<th>SMM</th>
<th>TMP</th>
<th>CLA</th>
<th>AZI</th>
<th>ROX</th>
<th>LEV</th>
<th>FLU</th>
<th>NO₃-N</th>
<th>PO₄-P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>H. pluvialis</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μ&lt;sub&gt;max&lt;/sub&gt; (day⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.21 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.44 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.05 ± 0.001</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.012</td>
<td>0.21 ± 0.012</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.26 ± 0.032</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K&lt;sub&gt;s&lt;/sub&gt; (μg L⁻¹)</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>11.56</td>
<td>11.05</td>
<td>61.23</td>
<td>6.59</td>
<td>103.7</td>
<td>12.24</td>
<td>21.54</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>133.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSE/ K&lt;sub&gt;s&lt;/sub&gt; ratio</td>
<td>0.084</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doubling time (days)</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>4.59</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>14.27</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>2.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>S. capricornutum</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μ&lt;sub&gt;max&lt;/sub&gt; (day⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.11 ± 0.001</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.001</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.007</td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.005</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.006</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.001</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.003</td>
<td>0.05 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K&lt;sub&gt;s&lt;/sub&gt; (μg L⁻¹)</td>
<td>−1.66</td>
<td>−6.2</td>
<td>−7.87</td>
<td>−15.05</td>
<td>−15.63</td>
<td>−13.31</td>
<td>−15.47</td>
<td>−9.5</td>
<td>−15.49</td>
<td>−9.79</td>
<td>−8.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSE/ K&lt;sub&gt;s&lt;/sub&gt; ratio</td>
<td>−0.065</td>
<td>−0.013</td>
<td>−0.005</td>
<td>−0.010</td>
<td>−0.005</td>
<td>−0.327</td>
<td>−0.009</td>
<td>−0.006</td>
<td>−0.005</td>
<td>−0.005</td>
<td>−0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>1.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doubling time (days)</td>
<td>6.43</td>
<td>8.59</td>
<td>9.24</td>
<td>8.95</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>8.92</td>
<td>9.21</td>
<td>7.87</td>
<td>9.24</td>
<td>15.37</td>
<td>8.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>S. quadricauda</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μ&lt;sub&gt;max&lt;/sub&gt; (day⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.21 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.21 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.21 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.26 ± 0.05</td>
<td>1.29 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K&lt;sub&gt;s&lt;/sub&gt; (μg L⁻¹)</td>
<td>17.19</td>
<td>8.53</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>21.38</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>19.46</td>
<td>22.32</td>
<td>20.63</td>
<td>41.17</td>
<td>145.4</td>
<td>12.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSE/ K&lt;sub&gt;s&lt;/sub&gt; ratio</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doubling time (days)</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>3.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C. vulgaris</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μ&lt;sub&gt;max&lt;/sub&gt; (day⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K&lt;sub&gt;s&lt;/sub&gt; (μg L⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSE/ K&lt;sub&gt;s&lt;/sub&gt; ratio</td>
<td>1.391</td>
<td>1.397</td>
<td>1.078</td>
<td>1.080</td>
<td>1.855</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>4.495</td>
<td>1.047</td>
<td>1.899</td>
<td>1.142</td>
<td>1.203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doubling time (days)</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Units for NO₃-N and PO₄-P nutrients are mg L⁻¹.
the light of EAWAG-BBD prediction and previous reports. Based on the identified TPs, TMP transformation pathway is proposed (Fig. 4). TMP may transform into TP306-1 \([m/z \ 307.1362]\) through hydroxylation (Pathway I). TP306-1 was the most prevalent biotransformation product of TMP, detected in all four algal batch cultures. TP306-1 was also reported as a primary metabolite of TMP in the bacterial and biological treatment processes (Jewell et al., 2016; Eichhorn et al., 2005). TP306-1 may transform into TP290-1 \([m/z \ 291.1049]\) and TP290-2 \([m/z \ 291.1049]\) via demethylation and oxidation processes. Jewell et al. also reported the transformation of TP306-1 into TP290-1 (Jewell et al.,

Fig. 4. The proposed metabolites and biotransformation pathway of TMP. Solid lines indicate the following proposed metabolites detected by QTOF, dotted lines and boxes indicate the intermediate transformation products predicted by EAWAG-BBD but not detected in this study. The direction of the arrows indicate the transformation path. *a (Eichhorn et al., 2005), *b (Jewell et al., 2016); RT (retention time); HP (Haematococcus pluvialis); SC (Selenastrum capricornutum); SQ (Scenedesmus quadricauda); CV (Chlorella vulgaris).
2016). TP290-1 can also directly transform into TP282 by ring cleavage, demethylation and oxidation. While, TP290-2 may undergo transformation into TP306-2 \([m/z\ 307.0998]\) through hydroxylation that subsequently transforms into TP282 \([m/z\ 283.0998]\) by ring cleavage, demethylation and oxidation. Subsequently, C–C bond cleavage and hydroxylation of TP282 may lead to the formation of TP154 \([m/z\ 155.0524]\). TMP can also undergo transformation into TP168 \([m/z\ 169.0681]\) through a series of intermediates predicted by EAWAG-BBD as proposed in Pathway II. However, these intermediates were not detected in our study. Later, the hydroxylation of TP168 may form TP184 \([m/z\ 185.0630]\) that can undergo C–C bond cleavage and hydroxylation to give TP154. TP154 was also reported as a direct hydrolytic product of TP290-1 in an earlier study (Jewell et al., 2016). Detection of a variety of TPs clearly indicate the complicated mechanisms and diverse pathways by microalgae for the breakdown of TMP.

Another two metabolites, TP126 and TP306-3 were also detected in the batch culture samples. TP126 \([m/z\ 127.035]\) can be ascribed to sulfonamide antibiotics SMR, SMX, or SMM with the proposed pathways shown in Fig. S11. TP126 was also reported earlier as a degradation product of sulfamethazine and SMX by *Scenedesmus obliquus* (Xiong et al., 2019b). According to EAWAG-BBD, LEV can undergo transformations into \([m/z\ 379.1504]\) by ring cleavage and dehydroxylation and into \([m/z\ 321.1086]\) through bond cleavage and oxidation. Subsequently, \([m/z\ 321.1086]\) can yield TP306-3 \([m/z\ 307.0929]\) by demethylation detected in this study. The proposed LEV transformation pathway is presented in Fig. S12.

In the present study, a variety of mechanisms viz. hydroxylation, amination, deamination, oxidation, demethylation, ring cleavage, etc. were involved in the transformation of antibiotics. As only small fractions of antibiotics were biosorbed, bioaccumulated, or abiotic degraded, therefore, the removal of majority of the antibiotics can be attributed to the biodegradation. Variations in the TPs suggest different mechanisms involved in the degradation of the antibiotics by different algal species. Detection of majority of metabolites in *H. pluvialis* can be attributed to enzymes as well as the production of astaxanthin that has strong scavenger antioxidant activity (Lee et al., 2019) to catalyze the degradation process. The rate of degradation may also be related to the efficacy of the enzymes against different structural vulnerabilities of the organic compounds.

### 3.7. Risk assessment of the TPs

The acute and chronic toxicity thresholds for the antibiotics and their respective TPs are presented in Table S8. The values for lethal concentration (LC\(_{50}\)), effective concentration (EC\(_{50}\)) and chronic value (ChV) for the major environmental food web organisms viz. fish, daphnids and green algae were determined by using ECOSAR (v2.0) (Reuschenbach et al., 2008; Sanderson et al., 2003). Generally, the TPs showed less toxicities compared to their parent compounds. However, exception was observed in the sulfonamides, where, TP126 showed higher acute toxicities for fish and green algae and higher chronic toxicity for green algae. Production of TPs with lower toxicities indicate the promise of microalgae as a potential bioremediation resource.

### 4. Conclusions

Microalgae demonstrated the removal of antibiotics and nutrients from synthetic wastewater in batch cultures, to qualify as a supplemental technology for the improvement of effluent quality. The antibiotic removal was mainly achieved by the biodegradation, while, bioadsorption, bioaccumulation and abiotic factors also contributed to minor extent. Monod kinetics was successful in explaining the role of contaminant removal in batch culture experiments with microalgae. *S. capricornutum* and *C. vulgaris* showed more affinity for the macrolides and fluoroquinolones than sulfonamides, while, *H. pluvialis* and *S. quadricauda* showed relatively higher preference for sulfonamides than the other antibiotic groups. Variations in the removal efficiencies as well as generation of different TPs by different algal species indicate variety of processes employed by algal species. Generally, the identified potential TPs showed overall less environmental risk than their respective parent compounds, indicating the potential of microalgae for use in the wastewater treatment systems. Further research is required to elucidate these processes with combinations of algal species at larger scales.
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