SOILS, SEC 5 • SOIL AND LANDSCAPE ECOLOGY • RESEARCH ARTICLE



# The relative contribution of nitrifiers to autotrophic nitrification across a pH-gradient in a vegetable cropped soil

Yaying Li<sup>1,2</sup> • Ruijiao Xi<sup>1,2,3</sup> • Weijin Wang<sup>4</sup> • Huaiying Yao<sup>1,2,5</sup>

Received: 1 June 2018 / Accepted: 9 August 2018 / Published online: 15 August 2018 © Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2018

## Abstract

**Purpose** Microbial nitrification plays an important role in nitrogen cycling in ecosystems. Nitrification is performed by ammoniaoxidizing archaea (AOA), ammonia-oxidizing bacteria (AOB), and nitrite-oxidizing bacteria (NOB) including complete ammonia oxidizers. However, the relative importance of nitrifiers in autotrophic nitrification in relation to soil pH is still unclear.

**Materials and methods** Combining DNA-based stable isotope probing (SIP) and molecular biological techniques, we investigated the abundance, structure, and activity of AOA, AOB, and NOB along a pH-gradient (3.97–7.04) in a vegetable cropped soil.

**Results and discussion** We found that AOA abundance outnumbered AOB abundance and had a significantly negative relationship with soil pH. The abundances of NOB *Nitrospira* 16S rRNA, *nxrB* gene, and *Nitrobacter nxrA* gene were affected by soil pH. Incubation of soil with <sup>13</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> and DNA-SIP analysis demonstrated that significant <sup>13</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation by AOA rather than by AOB occurred in the acidic soils, whereas the labeled <sup>13</sup>C level of AOA was much less in the neutral soil than in the acidic soils. There was no evidence of <sup>13</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation by NOB except for *Nitrobacter* with *NxrB* gene at pH 3.97. Phylogenetic analysis of AOA *amoA* gene in the <sup>13</sup>C- and <sup>12</sup>C-labeled treatments showed that the active AOA mainly belonged to *Nitrososphaera* in the acidic soils.

**Conclusions** These results suggested that the main performer of nitrification was AOA in the acidic soils, but both AOA and AOB participated in nitrification in the neutral soil with low nitrification activity. NOB *Nitrospira* and *Nitrobacter* did not grow in the soils with pH 4.82–7.04 and other populations of NOB were probably involved in nitrite oxidation in the vegetable cropped soil.

Keywords Nitrifiers · Soil pH · Stable isotope probing · Vegetable soil

## **1** Introduction

The processes of nitrogen cycling driven by microorganisms include nitrogen fixation, ammoniation, nitrification, and

Responsible editor: Yuan Ge

Yaying Li and Ruijiao Xi contributed equally to this work.

**Electronic supplementary material** The online version of this article (https://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-018-2109-x) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

Huaiying Yao hyyao@iue.ac.cn

- <sup>1</sup> Key Laboratory of Urban Environment and Health, Institute of Urban Environment, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Xiamen 361021, People's Republic of China
- <sup>2</sup> Ningbo Key Laboratory of Urban Environmental Processes and Pollution Control, Ningbo Urban Environment Observation and Research Station—NUEORS, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Ningbo 315800, People's Republic of China

denitrification (Gruber and Galloway 2008). Among these steps, nitrification is very important in biogeochemical cycles, leading to nitrate loss and pollution (Zhao et al. 2010). Aerobic autotrophic nitrification is a two-step process driven

- <sup>3</sup> University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, People's Republic of China
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Science, Information Technology and Innovation, GPO Box 5078, Brisbane, QLD 4001, Australia
- <sup>5</sup> Research Center for Environmental Ecology and Engineering, School of Environmental Ecology and Biological Engineering, Wuhan Institute of Technology, Wuhan 430073, People's Republic of China

by ammonia oxidizers and nitrite oxidizers, respectively. However, recent studies suggested that some species of *Nitrospira* can conduct complete ammonia oxidation (comammox) (Hu and He 2017). Ammonia oxidation is the first step in which ammonia is oxidized to nitrite, also the rate-limiting step of nitrification. It is carried out by chemolithoautotrophic AOB and AOA by the key enzyme, ammonia mono-oxygenase (AMO). AOB was previously believed to be the exclusive performer in microbial ammonia oxidation until the discovery of AOA and archaeal *amoA* gene (de Boer and Kowalchuk 2001; Venter et al. 2004). Recent investigation into the *amoA* gene revealed that this gene encodes the first subunit of AMO enzyme, and that AOA, in addition to AOB, play a main role in ammonia oxidation in acidic soil or infertile soil (Leininger et al. 2006; He et al. 2007).

AOA and AOB are widely distributed in terrestrial systems. They are found in paddy soil, vegetable soil, seawater, sediment, wetland, rhizosphere soil, and hot spring (Francis et al. 2005; Chen et al. 2008; Santoro and Casciotti 2011; Zhang et al. 2011; Lee et al. 2014). The two groups of ammonia oxidizers are different in cellular biochemistry and physiology, which cause the differential of abundance and community structure of ammonia oxidizers in different agroecosystems (Shen et al. 2008; Yao et al. 2011; He et al. 2012). Many factors can affect ammonia oxidizers, such as soil pH, oxygen and substrate concentration, temperature, etc. (Santoro et al. 2008; Shen et al. 2008; Morimoto et al. 2011). Among them, soil pH is an important driver of ammonia oxidizer activity, abundance, and community structure (Stephen et al. 1998; Nicol et al. 2008). Hu et al. (2013) confirmed that the distribution of AOA and AOB was driven by soil pH, and the AOA/AOB ratio decreased with an increase in soil pH. They used 65 soil samples collected from different regions and found that AOA had a competitive advantage over AOB. Nicol et al. (2008) found that the AOA amoA gene abundance decreased with increasing soil pH, while AOB amoA gene abundance generally increased with increasing pH. Generally, the quantity of AOA is greater than the quantity of AOB in acidic soils, demonstrating that AOA has a stronger ability to adapt to low pH habitats (Leininger et al. 2006).

Relative contribution of AOA and AOB to ammonia oxidation depends on soil conditions and ecosystems (Prosser and Nicol 2008). Autotrophic AOB has been adjudged the foremost contributor to ammonia oxidation before (Purkhold et al. 2000; Bock et al. 2006). However, the contribution of AOA to autotrophic nitrification should not be underestimated in light of *amoA* gene and AOA research (Yao et al. 2011; Zhang et al. 2011). Yao et al. (2011) found that AOA was more abundant than AOB in Chinese tea orchard soils. In addition, soil nitrification potential and AOA abundance were positively correlated, but there was no significant relationship between nitrification potential and AOB abundance, indicating that AOA had a greater role in soil ammonia oxidation. Most studies showed that AOA accounts for about 10% of the total quantity of soil microorganisms, and that AOA amoA gene copies were up to 3000-fold more abundant than AOB amoA gene copies (Leininger et al. 2006). Nonetheless, these observations do not definitively mean that ammonia oxidation is exclusively or mainly governed by AOA as implied by the extremely high abundance (Jia and Conrad 2009; Souza 2009). A previous study on agricultural soil found close correlation between the activity of ammonia oxidation and AOB amoA gene abundance, and observed incorporation of <sup>13</sup>C-CO2 into the AOB amoA gene, but not AOA (Jia and Conrad 2009). In addition, a study of long-term field experimental plots revealed that AOA had higher abundance compared with AOB in all the treatments. However, the two types of ammonia oxidizers had significant positive relationships with potential nitrification rates, demonstrating that both AOA and AOB drove the process of autotrophic ammonia oxidation (He et al. 2007).

The second step of nitrification is nitrite oxidation. In this step, nitrite is oxidized to nitrate by enzyme nitrite oxidoreductase (NXR), which is mediated by nitrite-oxidizing bacteria (NOB). Because ammonia oxidation is the rate-limiting step, the second step is often given little attention. NOB are classified into four genera, namely Nitrobacter, Nitrospira, Nitrococcus, and Nitrospina. Among them, research into Nitrobacter and Nitrospira has dominated (Bartosch et al. 2002; Freitag et al. 2005). Unlike ammonia oxidizers, target-specific 16S rRNA primers to NOB are not available for complicated environment systems (Freitag et al. 2005, Li et al. 2018). In contrast, 16S rRNA primers and nxrB primers can be successfully used to target Nitrospira strains (Pester et al. 2014), and nxrA (Poly et al. 2008) or nxrB (Pester et al. 2014) is widely used for studying Nitrobacter groups in soil. The NXR active site in Nitrobacter is cytoplasmic-orientated, whereas the NXR active site in Nitrospira faces the periplasm (Spieck and Bock 2005; Sorokin et al. 2012). The difference of adaptation and niche differentiation among NOB species could be due to the different membrane orientations of the active site of NXR (Spieck and Bock 2005). Previously, most of the researches focused on Nitrobacter and suggested that Nitrobacter played a main role in nitrite oxidation, but recent studies suggest Nitrospira may be of significant importance as well.

DNA-SIP technique has been successfully used to link microorganisms to specific functions within different ecosystems (Radajewski et al. 2000; Uhlík et al. 2009). Lately, <sup>13</sup>CO<sub>2</sub>-DNA-SIP experiments persuasively linked autotrophic nitrification activity and ammonia oxidizers together in an acidic soil (Lehtovirta-Morley et al. 2011), whereas previously, this was only shown in the neutral sediment of lakes (Whitby et al. 2001), estuaries (Freitag et al. 2006), and neutral agricultural soil (Jia and Conrad 2009). However, few studies have been able to clearly demonstrate the dominating nitrifiers along a pH-gradient of arable soil. Thus, our work investigated the relative contributions of AOA, AOB, and NOB to autotrophic nitrification and the active microbial groups at four pH levels (3.97–7.04) of vegetable soil using molecular tools. Our hypothesis is that the abundance, structure, and activity of AOA, AOB, and NOB are altered by soil pH.

## 2 Materials and methods

## 2.1 Study site and soil sampling

Soil samples at a depth of 0 to 20 cm were collected in June 2015 from a vegetable field, located in Ningbo  $(121^{\circ} 51' \text{ N}, 29^{\circ} 54' \text{ E})$ , China. The soil was derived from quaternary red earth and classified as red soil (equivalent to Ultisols in US soil taxonomy), with a texture of sandy loam and pH of 3.97. The detailed information about the sampling site has been previously described (Xi et al. 2017). The soil samples were sieved through a 2-mm mesh after air-drying. Soil pH was determined using a pH meter (soil/water, 1:2.5).

#### 2.2 Experimental design

Two-kilogram soil was homogeneously mixed with lime at the rates of 0, 2.0, 8.0, and 40.0 g  $CaCO_3$  kg<sup>-1</sup> dry soil, and soil pH was adjusted to 3.97, 4.82, 6.07, and 7.04, respectively. Soil samples were pre-incubated at 25 °C and 50% WHC (water-holding capacity) for 1 week to allow the effects of lime on soil pH to stabilize. Potential nitrification rate (PNR) was measured by incubating soil (added with 200 mg NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N kg<sup>-1</sup>) at 25 °C and 50% WHC for 24 h and determining the changes in nitrate concentrations using a continuous flow analyzer, according to a procedure described by Yao et al. (2011). Two treatments for the four soil pH levels employed in the incubation were 5% (v/v) <sup>12</sup>C-CO<sub>2</sub> and 5% <sup>13</sup>C-CO<sub>2</sub> (99 atom%; Sigma-Aldrich, USA) with three replicates. Stable isotope probing incubations were conducted in 120-ml serum bottles containing 10 g of fresh soil samples covered with rubber stoppers and aluminum caps. The head-space air in the bottles was refreshed every 2 days to provide oxygen for microcosms. Then, CO<sub>2</sub> concentration was reestablished by injection. The soil samples were incubated at 25 °C in the dark for 28 days. Destructive sampling was conducted at day 28, and then stored at -80 °C for molecular analysis.

## 2.3 DNA extraction and SIP fractionation

DNA was extracted from 500-mg freeze-dried soil using the FastDNA® SPIN Soil Kit following the user manual. The extracted DNA was dissolved in 100  $\mu$ l of RNase-free sterile water. DNA concentration was checked using a NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer (USA), then DNA samples were put into a – 20 °C freezer for further analysis.

SIP fractionation was performed in centrifuge tubes (Beckman Coulter, USA), and subject to centrifugation at 190000×g for 44 h at 20 °C. The purpose of CsCl solution and gradient buffer was to regulate the refractive index to 1.3999 by hand-held refractometer (Reichert, NY). After centrifugation, samples in tubes were divided into 15 samples evenly by a single-channel syringe pump (LSP01-1A, China). The method for DNA purification using PEG 6000 and 70% ethanol washing was described by Griffiths et al. (2000). The final product was dissolved in 30  $\mu$ l of sterile water.

#### 2.4 Real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction

We used quantitative polymerase chain reaction (Q-PCR) to quantify targeted genes on a Light Cycler Roche 480 instrument (Roche Molecular Systems). The primers for AOA, AOB, and NOB were listed in Table 1. Amplification was performed with 20-µl of solution consisting of 0.5 µM each primer, 10 µl of SYBR® Premix, and 1 µl of 10-fold dilution DNA template. Amplification conditions of AOA and AOB were as follows: 95 °C for 5 min, 40 cycles of 5 s at 95 °C, 30 s at 55 °C, and 45 s at 72 °C. Annealing temperatures of *Nitrobacter* and *Nitrospira* were 58 and 52 °C, respectively. Other amplification conditions were same as for AOA and AOB. The amplification efficiencies were higher than 90%, with  $r^2$  values between 0.95 and 0.99 for all qPCR assays.

## 2.5 Cloning and sequence analyses

We constructed AOA and AOB clone libraries from the treatments at four pH levels with the primers listed in Table 1. The sequences displaying more than 97% nucleotide similarities with each other were considered to belong to the same operational taxonomic unit (OTU) by the DNAMAN software, then selected representative sequences were blasted and phylogenetic trees were constructed with the Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis (MEGA 6.0) software using the neighbor-joining method (Tamura et al. 2013).

#### 2.6 Statistical analysis

Quantitative PCR data were log-transformed before further analysis. To compare the abundance of AOA, AOB, and NOB among all treatments, data were analyzed using ANOVA with the SPSS 19.0 software (IBM, USA). Pearson correlation analysis (P < 0.05) was also performed to test the correlation between soil pH and microbial abundances. In order to predict the variations among the ammonia oxidizer communities, the clone libraries data were analyzed in the CANOCO version 4.5. The phylogenetic trees were constructed using the MEGA version 6.0 software (Tamura et al. 2013).

#### Table 1 Primers of nitrifiers used in this study

Target gene	Primer	Sequence (5'-3')	Length of amplicon (bp)	Reference
AOA amoA	CrenamoA23f CrenamoA616r	ATGGTCTGGCTWAGACG GCCATCCATCTGTATGTCCA	635	(Nicol et al. 2008)
AOB amoA	amoA-1F amoA -2R	GGGGTTTCTACTGGTGGT CCCCTCKGSAAAGCCTTCTTC	491	(Rotthauwe et al. 1997)
Nitrobacter nxrA	F1nxrA R2nxrA	CAGACCGACGTGTGCGAAAG TCCACAAGGAACGGAAGGTC	322	(Franck et al. 2008) (Wertz et al. 2008)
Nitrobacter nxrB	nxrB1F nxrB1R	ACGTGGAGACCAAGCCGGG CCGTGCTGTTGAYCTCGTTGA	411	(Vanparys et al. 2007)
Nitrobacter nxrB	nxrB179F nxrB403R	ACACGTTGGGARGACCAGAC/ACCCGTTGGGAAGA CCAGAC GCCTCRATSGTGTCCATGTA	263	(Meng 2016)
<i>Nitrospira</i> 16S rRNA	Nspra675f Nspra746r	GCGGTGAAATGCGTAGAKATC G TCAGCGTCAGRWAYGTTCCAGAG	72	(Graham et al. 2007)
Nitrospira nxrB	nxrB169f nxrB638r	TACATGTGGTGGAACA CGGTTCTGGTCRATCA	485	(Pester et al. 2014)

A bootstrap analysis with 500 replicates was performed to evaluate the cluster stability.

## 2.7 Accession numbers of nucleotide sequences

Sequence data were deposited at GenBank with accession numbers MF323888-MF324007, MF324008-MF324127, MF324128-MF324247, and MF324248-MF324367 for the *amoA* gene of AOA-labeled treatments at pH 3.97, 4.82, 6.07, and 7.04, respectively; MF324368-MF324487, MF324488-MF324607, MF324608-MF324727, and MF324728-MF324847 for the *amoA* gene of AOA-unlabeled treatments at pH 3.97, 4.82, 6.07 and 7.04, respectively; MF322928-MF323047, MF323048-MF323167, MF323168-MF323287, and MF323288-MF323407 for the *amoA* gene of AOB at pH 3.97, 4.82, 6.07, and 7.04, respectively.

## 3 Results

#### 3.1 The abundance of AOA, AOB, and NOB

The population sizes of AOA, AOB, and NOB in both labeled and unlabeled treatments at different pH levels on day 28 were shown in Figs. 1 and 2. The AOA abundances ranged from  $3.08 \times 10^6$  to  $1.01 \times 10^9$  copies g<sup>-1</sup> dry soil and remained at higher levels compared to AOB abundances. The highest and lowest AOA populations were observed at soil pH 3.97 and 7.04, respectively. A negative correlation was found between the AOA *amoA* gene abundance and soil pH (r = -0.953, P < 0.01). The mean AOB abundance was  $2.31 \times 10^4$  copies g<sup>-1</sup> dry soil, with no significant differences among the four pH levels. Mineral N (NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N) contents during the incubation have been reported by Xi et al. (2017). Briefly, soil NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N concentrations ranged from 60 to 100, and 100 to 175 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> after the one-week pre-incubation, respectively. After 28 days of incubation, the soil NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N concentrations increased by 80–100 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> at pH 3.97–6.07. However, the NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N concentration remained relatively stable at pH 7.04 and was much lower than those at the other pH levels. Soil PNRs were  $5.9 \pm 0.5$ ,  $7.7 \pm 0.4$ ,  $4.9 \pm 0.5$ , and  $0.9 \pm 0.1$  mg kg<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> at pH 3.97, 4.82, 6.07, and 7.04, respectively. The PNRs were positively correlated with the AOA abundances (r = 0.889, P < 0.01), but there was no significant relationship between PNRs and AOB abundances.

For NOB, *Nitrobacter* and *Nitrospira* abundances changed with the pH gradient (Fig. 2). However, the five functional genes showed different trends. The abundance of *Nitrobacter nxrA*, ranging from  $2.34 \times 10^4$  to  $1.32 \times 10^6$  copies g<sup>-1</sup> dry soil, decreased with the increase in soil pH, but the abundance of *Nitrobacter nxrB* with two different primers showed no significant differences among the four pH levels. There were significant differences in the abundance of *Nitrospira* 16S rRNA and *nxrB* genes among the four pH levels. Lower *Nitrospira* 16S rRNA gene abundances at pH 3.97 and pH 4.82 were found compared to the other two pH levels. The abundance of *Nitrospira nxrB* gene increased with the increase in soil pH.

## 3.2 DNA-SIP of nitrifiers in the vegetable soil

Enrichments of <sup>13</sup>C for nitrifiers were identified by adding different inorganic carbon sources and determining the abundances of targeted genes after incubation. Fifteen fractions were obtained from the ultracentrifugation. The *amoA* gene

Fig. 1 Archaeal (a) and bacterial (b) *amoA* gene copies at the end of DNA-SIP incubation with <sup>13</sup>Cand <sup>12</sup>C-labeled CO<sub>2</sub> at soil pH 3.97-7.04. Error bars indicate standard errors of three replicates. Different small letters indicate a significant difference (P < 0.05)



of AOA in the <sup>12</sup>C-CO<sub>2</sub> microcosms was predominantly in the fractions with buoyant densities of 1.681-1.691 g ml<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 3). For the <sup>13</sup>C-CO<sub>2</sub> treatments, except at pH 7.04, the *amoA* gene of AOA was mostly found in a heavier fraction with a buoyant density of approximately 1.708 g ml<sup>-1</sup>. There were clear discrepancies in DNA buoyant density between the <sup>12</sup>C- and <sup>13</sup>C-labeled treatments at pH 3.97–6.07 (Fig. 3a–c). For the <sup>13</sup>C-CO<sub>2</sub> treatment at pH 7.04, the AOA *amoA* genes were mostly contained in the fraction with a buoyant density at around 1.694 g ml<sup>-1</sup>, with a slight shift toward a lower DNA buoyant density than at other pH levels (Fig. 3d). This implied that AOA assimilated more <sup>13</sup>C-CO<sub>2</sub> in the acidic soils.

For AOB, the abundance of *amoA* gene copies reached the maximum value in a buoyant density fraction at around 1.701 g ml<sup>-1</sup> for both <sup>13</sup>C-CO<sub>2</sub> and <sup>12</sup>C-CO<sub>2</sub> treatments at pH 3.97–7.04 (Fig. 4). There was no significant variation in the distribution of AOB abundance between the <sup>13</sup>C-CO<sub>2</sub> and <sup>12</sup>C-CO<sub>2</sub> treatments, which implied that no <sup>13</sup>C-CO<sub>2</sub> was assimilated into bacterial DNA during the microcosm incubation.

For the three functional genes (*Nitrobacter nxrA*, *Nitrobacter nxrB*, and *Nitrospira nxrB*) of NOB, the maximum relative abundance overlapped at approximately  $1.700-1.720 \text{ g ml}^{-1}$  for the <sup>13</sup>C-CO<sub>2</sub> and <sup>12</sup>C-CO<sub>2</sub> treatments



**Fig. 2** Targeted gene copies of nitrite-oxidizing bacteria at the end of DNA-SIP incubation with <sup>13</sup>C- and <sup>12</sup>C-labeled CO<sub>2</sub> at soil pH 3.97–7.04. Error bars indicate standard errors of three replicates; different small letters indicate a significant difference (P < 0.05). (a, *Nitrospira* 

at pH 4.82–7.04 (Fig. S1, Electronic Supplementary Material), demonstrating a lack of incorporation of  ${}^{13}$ C-CO<sub>2</sub> into genomic DNA of either *Nitrospira* or *Nitrobacter*. Only a slight shift of the peak value in *Nitrobacter nxrB* gene was found at pH 3.97. The *Nitrobacter nxrB* gene copies reached the maximum value in a buoyant density fraction at around 1.716 and 1.705 g ml<sup>-1</sup> for the  ${}^{13}$ C-CO<sub>2</sub> and  ${}^{12}$ C-CO<sub>2</sub> treatments, respectively.

16S rRNA gene; b, *Nitrospira nxrB*; c, *Nitrobacter nxrA*; d, *Nitrobacter nxrB* genes with primers *nxrB1F/nxrB1R*; e, *Nitrobacter nxrB* genes with primers *nxrB179F/403R*)

## 3.3 Phylogenetic affiliation of amoA sequences

Clone libraries of AOA *amoA* genes from the fraction with maximum relative abundance in the  ${}^{12}CO_2$  and  ${}^{13}CO_2$  microcosms at four pH levels were constructed, and 40 clones from each replicate were randomly selected for sequencing. Since there was no significant variation in the distribution of AOB abundance in density fractions between the  ${}^{13}C-CO_2$  and  ${}^{12}C-$ 





**Fig. 3** Quantitative distribution of AOA *amoA* gene copy numbers along a buoyant density gradient for the  ${}^{13}C$ -CO<sub>2</sub> and  ${}^{12}C$ -CO<sub>2</sub> treatments. The relative abundance was the ratio of the *amoA* gene copy number in each DNA fraction to the maximum quantity in each treatment. *Y*-axis error

CO<sub>2</sub> treatments, we constructed AOB clone library from the DNA before ultracentrifugation, and 120 clones from each pH level were randomly selected for sequencing.

For the AOA clone library, amoA gene sequences from the labeled and unlabeled treatments mainly fell into the same cluster (Fig. 5). In the <sup>13</sup>C-labeled treatments, OTU5 was the most abundant OTU which contained 49.2 and 53.3% sequences in the heavier fractions at pH 4.82 and 7.04, respectively. OTU2 was the most abundant OTU which contained 36.7% sequences in the heavier fractions at pH 3.97. OTU1 and OTU2 were the most abundant OTUs which contained 33.3 and 32.5% sequences in the heavier fractions at pH 6.07. OTU4 was the most abundant OTU which contained 79.2 and 57.5% sequences in the lighter fractions at pH 3.97 and 7.04 in the <sup>12</sup>C-labeled treatments, respectively. OTU5 was the most abundant OTU which contained 81.7 and 52.5% sequences in the lighter fractions at pH 4.82 and 6.07 in the <sup>12</sup>C-labeled treatments, respectively. OTU1, OTU2, OTU4, and OTU5 all belonged to Nitrososphaera.

For the AOB clone library, OTU9 was the most abundant OTU which contained 66.7, 55.0, 56.7, and 30.0% sequences at pH 3.97, 4.82, 6.07, and 7.04, respectively. OTU12 was the

second most abundant OTU which contained 10.8, 15.8, 17.5, and 18.3% sequences at pH 3.97, 4.82, 6.07, and 7.04, respectively. Both OTU9 and OTU12 belonged to *Nitrosospira* (Fig. S2, Electronic Supplementary Material).

bars indicate standard errors of the mean relative gene abundance, and X-

axis error bars indicate the standard errors of the mean buoyant density (a,

pH 3.97; b, pH 4.82; c, pH 6.07; d, pH 7.04)

#### 3.4 Discussion

The abundance of archaeal *amoA* gene was 100 to10,000 times higher than bacterial *amoA* gene, and increased significantly during the incubation. This result was consistent with the previous study, which reported that AOA were numerically dominant over AOB in many different habitats (Leininger et al. 2006; Morimoto et al. 2011; Liu et al. 2015). The negative correlation between soil pH and AOA *amoA* gene abundance demonstrated that some, if not all, AOA had a preference for acidic soils. The AOA *amoA* gene abundance at pH 7.04 was significantly lower than that at pH 3.97–6.07. This might be due to the death of a large proportion of AOA when the soil pH increased. In addition, a positive correlation between AOA *amoA* gene copies and PNR was found in this vegetable cropped soil. These results were in agreement with



**Fig. 4** Quantitative distribution of AOB *amoA* gene copy numbers along a buoyant density gradient for the  ${}^{13}C$ -CO<sub>2</sub> and  ${}^{12}C$ -CO<sub>2</sub> treatments. The relative abundance was the ratio of the *amoA* gene copy number in each DNA fraction to the maximum quantity in each treatment. *Y*-axis error

bars indicate standard errors of the mean relative gene abundance, and *X*-axis error bars indicate the standard errors of the mean buoyant density (a, pH 3.97; b, pH 4.82; c, pH 6.07; d, pH 7.04)

previous findings that AOA primarily drove the process of ammonia oxidation in acidic soils (Stopnisek et al. 2010; Lehtovirta-Morley et al. 2011). Generally, AOB dominate nitrification in neutral pH soils that have had a history of receiving N fertilizer inputs (Di et al. 2009; Li et al. 2018;

Long et al. 2018). However, AOB abundance and nitrification activity in the neutral soil were quite low and there was no significant difference in AOB abundance across the pH gradient. The results demonstrated that the shortterm increase in soil pH could not promote the growth

**Fig. 5** Phylogenetic tree of AOA *amoA* gene sequences retrieved from selected density fractions of the  $^{13}$ C- and  $^{12}$ C-labeled treatments. Their relative abundances are shown as a heatmap distribution. The scale bar of 0.02 represents 2% estimated sequence divergence



and activity of the original AOB species, perhaps due to the niche discrimination of active nitrifiers adapted to different pH ranges.

The 16S rRNA genes of Nitrobacter species are highly similar to those of some non-Nitrobacter genera within the Bradyrhizobiaceae (Vanparys et al. 2007), and thus, it is not suitable to use the 16S rRNA gene as a marker for Nitrobacter. Previous studies often used nxrA and nxrB genes to target Nitrobacter (Reeve et al. 2016; Stempfhuber et al. 2016). Hence, Nitrospira 16S rRNA, nxrB, Nitrobacter nxrA, and *nxrB* genes were used to characterize the dynamics of NOB in this study. Among the four target genes, the abundances of Nitrospira 16S rRNA, nxrB gene, and Nitrobacter nxrA gene were significantly affected by soil pH. This indicated that different groups/organisms are adapted to specific pH ranges. The different resistances to free nitrous acid (FNA) may potentially explain different pH preferences by Nitrobacter and Nitrospira (Vadivelu et al. 2007). Each group may have contrasting sensitivity to FNA. Nitrospira is more vulnerable to low concentration of FNA than Nitrobacter (Vadivelu et al. 2007). For *Nitrospira*, the increase in abundance at relatively high pH levels may be due to the high sensitivity to FNA. For Nitrobacter, the increase in abundance of nxrA gene with decreasing soil pH could be partially explained by the lower sensitivity to FNA. However, no evidence or proof has been given to explain the variation of Nitrobacter nxrB gene abundance with soil pH. It is possible that some other factors, such as H<sub>2</sub> oxidation and mixotrophic growth, could influence the abundance of the NOB group (Daims et al. 2016).

Berg et al. (2007) and Schramm et al. (1998) found that the pathways of AOA and AOB to fix CO2 were 3hydroxypropionate/4-hydroxybutyrate and Calvin-Bassham-Benson cycle, respectively. Nitrobacter and Nitrospira fix carbon with the Calvin-Bassham-Benson cycle and the reductive TCA (rTCA) cycle (Spieck and Bock 2005; Lücker et al. 2010). In our study, by determining the nitrifier abundances in the <sup>13</sup>Clabeled and <sup>12</sup>C-labeled treatments, the assimilation of <sup>13</sup>C into the genomes of nitrifiers could be demonstrated (Whitby et al. 2001; Jia and Conrad 2009; Lu and Jia 2013). There was clear incorporation of <sup>13</sup>C into AOA genomes except in the neutral soil. However, there was no evident <sup>13</sup>C incorporation into AOB genomes as shown by the similar density distribution for the <sup>12</sup>C-CO<sub>2</sub> and <sup>13</sup>C-CO<sub>2</sub> treatments. These results were consistent with the cloning and sequence analyses where AOA amoA gene sequences in the heavy DNA fractions of <sup>13</sup>C-labeled treatments were affiliated with OTU5 and OTU2, whereas the majority of the AOA sequences presented in the light DNA fractions of the <sup>12</sup>C-labeled treatments were affiliated with OTU5 and OTU4. The active AOA that contributed to ammonia oxidation in the acidic soils belonged to Nitrososphaera, demonstrating that some populations of Nitrososphaera were adapted to acidic environment. A large proportion of AOA amoA gene sequences in the <sup>13</sup>C-labeled and <sup>12</sup>C-labeled treatments fell within the same cluster at pH 3.97–6.07. A previous study using DNA-SIP analysis revealed that the majority of active AOA incorporated <sup>13</sup>C-CO<sub>2</sub> at pH 4.20 and fell into *Nitrosotalea* and *Nitrososphaera* groups (Zhang et al. 2011). Wang et al. (2014) also found that AOA were the main performers of nitrification activity, with greater incorporation of <sup>13</sup>CO<sub>2</sub>-derived carbon than AOB. No significant shift of AOA DNA buoyant density was found in the <sup>13</sup>C-labeled treatment at pH 7.04, suggesting that AOA could not assimilate CO<sub>2</sub> in neutral soils. Shen et al. (2008) reported that AOA was much more abundant than AOB, but AOB was the main driver for ammonia oxidation in an alkaline sandy loam soil. Our results further confirmed that the activity of AOA was very low in neutral and alkaline soils.

Niche differentiation of AOA and AOB can be strongly influenced by soil pH (Gubry-Rangin et al. 2011). The change in soil pH influences the equilibrium between ammonia and ammonium. In strongly acidic soils, ammonia concentration decreases because of the conversion of NH<sub>3</sub> to NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> (NH<sub>3</sub>+ H<sup>+</sup>  $\Leftrightarrow$  NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>). Furthermore, Martens-Habbena et al. (2009) reported that AOA had a higher substrate affinity than AOB in ammonia-limited conditions, based on an ammonia oxidation kinetic study with the assumption that the direct substrate for ammonia oxidizers was ammonia rather than ammonium (Suzuki et al. 1974). He et al. (2007) also demonstrated that AOA increased in relative abundance with decreasing soil pH. The ammonia concentrations increase with the increase of soil pH, which could stimulate nitrification by AOB and suppress the growth of AOA (Stempfhuber et al. 2015)

For NOB, we targeted three genes (*Nitrospira nxrB*, *Nitrobacter nxrA*, *Nitrobacter nxrB*) with DNA-SIP. Interestingly, there was no evidence of growth of either *Nitrospira* or *Nitrobacter* populations in the soils with pH 4.82–7.04. A lack of incorporation of <sup>13</sup>C-CO<sub>2</sub> into genomic DNA of either group indicated that these populations contributed to nitrite oxidation with no detectable growth. Alternatively, other populations of NOB, not targeted by the qPCR assays for *Nitrospira* and *Nitrobacter*, were probably involved in nitrite oxidation (Daims et al. 2015).

## **4** Conclusions

Our results provided strong evidence that AOA and *Nitrobacter* were the dominate nitrifiers in the acidic soils, whereas *Nitrospira* dominates in the near neutral soil. AOA dominated ammonia oxidation in the acidic soils. Labeling of AOA by <sup>13</sup>C-CO<sub>2</sub> indicated that nitrification was driven by *Nitrososphaera* in the acidic soils. The activities of both AOA and AOB were very low in the neutral soil. NOB was active and responsible for nitrite oxidation but active population growth of NOB was not identified.

**Funding information** This work was supported by the National Key R & D Program of China (2017YFD0200102, 2016YFC0502704), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (41525002, 41471206), the Strategic Priority Research Program of Chinese Academy of Sciences (XDB15020301), the Program of Key Laboratory of Urban Environment and Health (KLUEH-C-201701), and Ningbo Municipal Science and Technology Bureau (2015C10031).

## References

- Bartosch S, Hartwig C, Spieck E, Bock E (2002) Immunological detection of *Nitrospira*-like bacteria in various soils. Microb Ecol 43(1):26–33
- Berg IA, Kockelkorn D, Buckel W, Fuchs G (2007) A 3hydroxypropionate/4-hydroxybutyrate autotrophic carbon dioxide assimilation pathway in Archaea. Science 318(5857):1782–1786
- Bock E, Koops HP, Ahlers B, Harms H, Balows A, Truper HG, Dworkin M, Harder W, Schleifer KH (2006) Oxidation of inorganic nitrogen compounds as an energy source. In: Oxidation of inorganic nitrogen compounds as energy source. Springer, New York
- Chen XP, Zhu YG, Xia Y, Shen JP, He JZ (2008) Ammonia-oxidizing archaea: important players in paddy rhizosphere soil? Environ Microbiol 10(8):1978–1987
- Daims H, Lebedeva EV, Pjevac P, Han P, Herbold C, Albertsen M, Jehmlich N, Palatinszky M, Vierheilig J, Bulaev A (2015) Complete nitrification by *Nitrospira* bacteria. Nature 528(7583): 504–509
- Daims H, Lücker S, Wagner M (2016) A new perspective on microbes formerly known as nitrite-oxidizing bacteria. Trends Microbiol 24(9):699–712
- de Boer W, Kowalchuk GA (2001) Nitrification in acid soils: microorganisms and mechanisms. Soil Biol Biochem 33(7–8):853–866
- Di HJ, Cameron KC, Shen JP, Winefield CS, O'Callaghan M, Bowatte S, He JZ (2009) Nitrification driven by bacteria and not archaea in nitrogen-rich grassland soils. Nat Geosci 2:62–624
- Francis CA, Roberts KJ, Beman JM, Santoro AE, Oakley BB (2005) Ubiquity and diversity of ammonia-oxidizing archaea in water columns and sediments of the ocean. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 102(41):14683–14688
- Franck P, Sophie W, Elisabeth B, Valérie D (2008) First exploration of *Nitrobacter* diversity in soils by a PCR cloning-sequencing approach targeting functional gene nxrA. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 63(1):132–140
- Freitag TE, Chang L, Clegg CD, Prosser JI (2005) Influence of inorganic nitrogen management regime on the diversity of nitrite-oxidizing bacteria in agricultural grassland soils. Appl Environ Microbiol 71(12):8323–8334
- Freitag TE, Chang L, Prosser JI (2006) Changes in the community structure and activity of betaproteobacterial ammonia-oxidizing sediment bacteria along a freshwater-marine gradient. Environ Microbiol 8(4):684–696
- Graham DW, Knapp CW, Van Vleck ES, Bloor K, Lane TB, Graham CE (2007) Experimental demonstration of chaotic instability in biological nitrification. ISME J 1(5):385–393
- Griffiths RI, Whiteley AS, O'Donnell AG, Bailey MJ (2000) Rapid method for coextraction of DNA and RNA from natural environments for analysis of ribosomal DNA- and rRNA-based microbial community composition. Appl Environ Microbiol 66(12):5488–5491
- Gruber N, Galloway JN (2008) An Earth-system perspective of the global nitrogen cycle. Nature 451(7176):293–296
- Gubry-Rangin C, Hai B, Quince C, Engel M, Thomson BC, James P, Schloter M, Griffiths RI, Prosser JI, Nicol GW (2011) Niche

specialization of terrestrial archaeal ammonia oxidizers. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 108:21206–21211

- He JZ, Hu HW, Zhang LM (2012) Current insights into the autotrophic thaumarchaeal ammonia oxidation in acidic soils. Soil Biol Biochem 55:146–154
- He JZ, Shen JP, Zhang LM, Zhu YG, Zheng YM, Xu MG, Di H (2007) Quantitative analyses of the abundance and composition of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria and ammonia-oxidizing archaea of a Chinese upland red soil under long-term fertilization practices. Environ Microbiol 9(9):2364–2374
- Hu HW, He JZ (2017) Comammox—a newly-discovered nitrification process in the terrestrial nitrogen cycle. J Soils Sediments 17: 2709–2717
- Hu HW, Zhang LM, Dai Y, Di HJ, He JZ (2013) pH-dependent distribution of soil ammonia oxidizers across a large geographical scale as revealed by high-throughput pyrosequencing. J Soils Sediments 13(8):1439–1449
- Jia Z, Conrad R (2009) Bacteria rather than Archaea dominate microbial ammonia oxidation in an agricultural soil. Environ Microbiol 11(7): 1658–1671
- Lee KH, Wang YF, Zhang GX, Gu JD (2014) Distribution patterns of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria and anammox bacteria in the freshwater marsh of Honghe wetland in Northeast China. Ecotoxicology 23(10):1930–1942
- Lehtovirta-Morley LE, Stoecker K, Vilcinskas A, Prosser JI, Nicol GW (2011) Cultivation of an obligate acidophilic ammonia oxidizer from a nitrifying acid soil. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 108(38):15892– 15897
- Leininger S, Urich T, Schloter M, Schwark L, Qi J, Nicol GW, Prosser JI, Schuster SC, Schleper C (2006) Archaea predominate among ammonia-oxidizing prokaryotes in soils. Nature 442(7104):806–809
- Li YY, Chapman SJ, Nicol GW, Yao HY (2018) Nitrification and nitrifiers in acidic soils. Soil Biol Biochem 116:290–301
- Liu R, Hayden H, Suter H, He J, Chen D (2015) The effect of nitrification inhibitors in reducing nitrification and the ammonia oxidizer population in three contrasting soils. J Soils Sediments 15(5):1113–1118
- Long XE, Huang Y, Chi HF, Li YY, Ahmad N, Yao HY (2018) Nitrous oxide flux, ammonia oxidizer and denitrifier abundance and activity across three different landfill cover soils in Ningbo, China. J Clean Prod 170:288–297
- Lu L, Jia Z (2013) Urease gene-containing Archaea dominate autotrophic ammonia oxidation in two acid soils. Environ Microbiol 15(6): 1795–1809
- Lücker S, Wagner M, Maixner F, Pelletier E, Koch H, Vacherie B, Rattei T, Damsté JS, Spieck E, Le PD (2010) A *Nitrospira* metagenome illuminates the physiology and evolution of globally important nitrite-oxidizing bacteria. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107(30): 13479–13484
- Martens-Habbena W, Berube PM, Urakawa H, de la Torre JR, Stahl DA (2009) Ammonia oxidation kinetics determine niche separation of nitrifying Archaea and Bacteria. Nature 461:976–979
- Meng YY (2016) Nitrite oxidising bacteria in soil: examination of the interactions with ammonia oxidisers and the influence of pH on their diversity and distribution. University of Aberdeen, Scotland
- Morimoto S, Hayatsu M, Takada HY, Nagaoka K, Yamazaki M, Karasawa T, Takenaka M, Akiyama H (2011) Quantitative analyses of ammonia-oxidizing archaea (AOA) and ammonia-oxidizing bacteria (AOB) in fields with different soil types. Microbes Environ 26(3):248–253
- Nicol GW, Leininger S, Schleper C, Prosser JI (2008) The influence of soil pH on the diversity, abundance and transcriptional activity of ammonia oxidizing archaea and bacteria. Environ Microbiol 10(11): 2966–2978
- Pester M, Maixner F, Berry D, Rattei T, Koch H, Lücker S, Nowka B, Richter A, Spieck E, Lebedeva E (2014) NxrB encoding the beta subunit of nitrite oxidoreductase as functional and phylogenetic

marker for nitrite-oxidizing Nitrospira. Environ Microbiol 16(10): 3055–3071

- Poly F, Wertz S, Brothier E, Degrange V (2008) First exploration of *Nitrobacter* diversity in soils by a PCR cloning-sequencing approach targeting functional gene *nxrA*. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 63(1):132–140
- Prosser JI, Nicol GW (2008) Relative contributions of archaea and bacteria to aerobic ammonia oxidation in the environment. Environ Microbiol 10(11):2931–2941
- Purkhold U, Pommereningröser A, Juretschko S, Schmid MC, Koops HP, Wagner M (2000) Phylogeny of all recognized species of ammonia oxidizers based on comparative 16S rRNA and *amoA* sequence analysis: implications for molecular diversity surveys. Appl Environ Microbiol 66(12):5368–5382
- Radajewski S, Ineson P, Parekh NR, Murrell JC (2000) Stable-isotope probing as a tool in microbial ecology. Nature 403(6770):646–649
- Reeve PJ, Mouilleron I, Chuang HP, Thwaites B, Hyde K, Dinesh N, Krampe J, Lin TF, Akker BVD (2016) Effect of feed starvation on side-stream anammox activity and key microbial populations. J Environ Manage 171:121–127
- Rotthauwe JH, Witzel KP, Liesack W (1997) The ammonia monooxygenase structural gene amoA as a functional marker: molecular fine-scale analysis of natural ammonia-oxidizing populations. Appl Environ Microbiol 63(12):4704–4712
- Santoro AE, Casciotti KL (2011) Isotopic signature of N<sub>2</sub>O produced by marine ammonia-oxidizing archaea. Science 333(333):1282–1285
- Santoro A, Francis C, De Sieyes N, Boehm A (2008) Shifts in the relative abundance of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria and archaea across physicochemical gradients in a subterranean estuary. Environ Microbiol 10(4):1068–1079
- Schramm A, De BD, Wagner M, Amann R (1998) Identification and activities in situ of *Nitrosospira* and *Nitrospira* spp. as dominant populations in a nitrifying fluidized bed reactor. Appl Environ Microbiol 64(9):3480–3485
- Shen JP, Zhang LM, Zhu YG, Zhang JB, He JZ (2008) Abundance and composition of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria and ammonia-oxidizing archaea communities of an alkaline sandy loam. Environ Microbiol 10(6):1601–1611
- Sorokin DY, Lücker S, Vejmelkova D, Kostrikina NA, Kleerebezem R, Rijpstra WI, Damsté JS, Le PD, Muyzer G, Wagner M (2012) Nitrification expanded: discovery, physiology and genomics of a nitrite-oxidizing bacterium from the phylum *Chloroflexi*. ISME J 6(12):2245–2256

Souza ND (2009) Sequence is not everything. Nat Methods 6(5):320-321

- Spieck E, Bock E (2005) The Proteobacteria, Part A Introductory Essays. In: Garrity G (ed) The lithoautotrophic nitrite-oxidizing bacteria. Springer, New York, pp 149–153
- Stempfhuber B, Engel M, Fischer D, Neskovic-Prit G, Wubet T, Schöning I, Gubry-Rangin C, Kublik S, Schloter-Hai B, Rattei T, Welzl G, Nicol GW, Schrumpf M, Buscot F, Prosser JI, Schloter M (2015) pH as a driver for ammonia-oxidizing archaea in forest soils. Microb Ecol 69:879–883
- Stempfhuber B, Richter-Heitmann T, Regan KM, Kölbl A, Wüst PK, Marhan S, Sikorski J, Overmann J, Friedrich MW, Kandeler E (2016) Spatial interaction of archaeal ammonia-oxidizers and nitrite-oxidizing bacteria in an unfertilized grassland soil. Front Microbiol 1567(6):1–15

- Stephen JR, Kowalchuk GA, Mav B, Mccaig AE, Phillips CJ, Embley TM, Prosser JI (1998) Analysis of beta-subgroup proteobacterial ammonia oxidizer populations in soil by denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis analysis and hierarchical phylogenetic probing. Appl Environ Microbiol 64(8):2958–2965
- Stopnisek N, Gubryrangin C, Höfferle S, Nicol GW, Mandicmulec I, Prosser JI (2010) Thaumarchaeal ammonia oxidation in an acidic forest peat soil is not influenced by ammonium amendment. Appl Environ Microbiol 76(22):7626–7634
- Suzuki I, Dular U, Kwok SC (1974) Ammonia or ammonium ion as substrate for oxidation by *Nitrosomonas* europaea cells and extracts. J Bacteriol 120(1):556–558
- Tamura K, Stecher G, Peterson D, Filipski A, Kumar S (2013) MEGA6: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 6.0. Mol Biol Evol 30(12):2725–2729
- Uhlík O, Jecná K, Leigh MB, Macková M, Macek T (2009) DNA-based stable isotope probing: a link between community structure and function. Sci Total Environ 407(12):3611–3619
- Vadivelu VM, Keller J, Yuan Z (2007) Free ammonia and free nitrous acid inhibition on the anabolic and catabolic processes of Nitrosomonas and Nitrobacter. Water Sci Technol 56(7):89–97
- Vanparys B, Spieck E, Heylen K, Wittebolle L, Geets J, Boon N, De VP (2007) The phylogeny of the genus *Nitrobacter* based on comparative rep-PCR, 16S rRNA and nitrite oxidoreductase gene sequence analysis. Syst Appl Microbiol 30(4):297–308
- Venter JC, Remington K, Heidelberg JF, Halpern AL, Rusch D, Eisen JA, Wu D, Paulsen I, Nelson KE, Nelson W, Fouts DE, Levy S, Knap AH, Lomas MW, Nealson K, White O, Peterson J, Hoffman J, Parsons R, Baden-Tillson H, Pfannkoch C, Rogers YH, Smith HO (2004) Environmental genome shotgun sequencing of the Sargasso Sea. Science 304(5667):66–74
- Wang B, Zheng Y, Huang R, Zhou X, Wang D, He Y, Jia Z (2014) Active ammonia oxidizers in an acidic soil are phylogenetically closely related to neutrophilic archaeon. Appl Environ Microbiol 80(5): 1684–1691
- Wertz S, Poly F, Le RX, Degrange V (2008) Development and application of a PCR-denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis tool to study the diversity of Nitrobacter-like nxrA sequences in soil. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 63(2):261–271
- Whitby CB, Hall G, Pickup R, Saunders JR, Ineson P, Parekh NR, McCarthy A (2001) <sup>13</sup>C incorporation into DNA as a means of identifying the active components of ammonia-oxidizer populations. Lett Appl Microbiol 32(6):398–401
- Xi RJ, Long XE, Huang S, Yao HY (2017) pH rather than nitrification and urease inhibitors determines the community of ammonia oxidizers in a vegetable soil. AMB Express 7:129
- Yao H, Gao Y, Nicol GW, Campbell CD, Prosser JI, Zhang L, Han W, Singh BK (2011) Links between ammonia oxidizer community structure, abundance, and nitrification potential in acidic soils. Appl Environ Microbiol 77(13):4618–4625
- Zhang LM, Hu HW, Shen JP, He JZ (2011) Ammonia-oxidizing archaea have more important role than ammonia-oxidizing bacteria in ammonia oxidation of strongly acidic soils. ISME J 6(5):1032–1045
- Zhao C, Hu C, Huang W, Sun X, Tan Q, Di H (2010) A lysimeter study of nitrate leaching and optimum nitrogen application rates for intensively irrigated vegetable production systems in Central China. J Soils Sediments 10(1):9–17